

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	East Quincy Services District				
Name of Primary Contact	Mike Green - General Manager				
Name of Secondary Contact	Vicki Poh – Administrative Assistant				
Mailing Address	179 Rogers Avenue				
E-mail	mike@eastquincycsd.com vicki@eastquincycsd.com				
Phone	530-283-2390				
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Bastian Engineering – Daniel Bastian				
Organizations / Stakeholders	bastianengineeringinc@gmail.com 530-832-2644				
Is your agency/organization	Yes				
committed to the project through					
completion? If not, please explain					

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-42: Automatic Meter Reading (ARM) Project		
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship		
	☐ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies		
	Municipal Services		
	Water Supply/Water Quality		
	Community Water/Wastewater		
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee		
	☐ Uplands/Forest		
Project Description (Briefly describe the project, in 300 words or less)	Replace the existing 850 water meters with Sensus I-Perl (or equivalent) meters with automatic reading capabilities. The measured groundwater pumped from EQSD wells is approximately 10% more than the water read at the current meters. Accurate and timely meter reading will provide the EQSD stakeholders with the information to better govern water use in accordance with the Governor's drought proclamation and satisfy the IRWM goal to: "establish and maintain effective communication among water resource stakeholders in the region, enhancing the publics' understanding of water management issues". ARM water meters will allow for improved efficiency and reliability of the EQSD water-related infrastructure resulting in reduced groundwater pumping. And ARM water meters		

	would also enhance the District's ability to audit the system for leakage thereby improving water supply reliability and efficiency.
Project Location Description (e.g., along the south bank of stream/river between river miles or miles from Towns/intersection and/or address):	This project is located in the East Quincy service district boundary, in the American Valley Groundwater Basin (5-10),
Latitude:	39.930747°
Longitude:	-120.898315°

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

	Will the		Quantification (e.g. acres of
	project		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic	☐ Yes		
functions.			
	■ N/A		
Reduce potential for		Reduced demand on local	
catastrophic wildland fires in	Yes	groundwater increases resources	
the Region.		available for wildland fire	
	□ N/A	suppression.	
Build communication and		Communicated accurate	
collaboration among water	Yes	information on District	
resources stakeholders in the		groundwater usage and water	
Region.	□ N/A	conservation methods to	
		stakeholders in the Region.	
Work with DWR to develop			
strategies and actions for the	Yes		
management, operation, and			
control of SWP facilities in the	□ N/A		
Upper Feather River Watershed			
in order to increase water			
supply, recreational, and			
environmental benefits to the			
Region.			
Encourage municipal service		The project will facilitate reliable	
providers to participate in	Yes	potable water for use by	
regional water management		customers in the EQSD area. This	
actions that improve water	□ N/A	project will reduce reliance on	

		IVIS 42. Automatic Wieter IV	
Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: supply and water quality.	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective groundwater through conservation, thereby helping the Region meet drinking water demands that are threatened by drought restrictions. As a local, sustainable water supply, the groundwater saved by this project becomes available for future needs and is not vulnerable loss.	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the	☐ Yes	Increased water supply due to early identification of customer leaks.	
Region.	■ N/A		
Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	■ Yes	This project is dependent on grant funding. Early leak detection reduces District pumping demands and improves efficiency of service to customers.	
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	Yes	Reduce groundwater consumption through early leak detection.	
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and Native Americans.	■ Yes □ N/A	Reduced groundwater demand due to early leak identification addresses the water resource needs of East Quincy Services District customers.	
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect	■ Yes	Early identification of leaks, less ground water usage would be	
groundwater resources. Improve coordination of land use and water resources planning.	□ N/A □ Yes ■ N/A	necessary.	
Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal	Yes	EQSD relies entirely on groundwater sources for its	

	Will the		Quantification (e.g. acres of
Linnay Foothey Divey IDMAA	project	Duick combonation of musicat	streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives: water use efficiency.	objective? ☐ N/A	linkage to selected Objective water source. The American	enhanced)
water use efficiency.	I IN/A	Valley also includes agricultural	
		users that access the same	
		aguifer. Any reduction in	
		groundwater supplies could	
		result in local water restrictions	
		to agricultural users. Accurate	
		monitoring of groundwater	
		usage as well as early leak	
		detection reduces the Districts	
		groundwater usage.	
Effectively address climate	☐ Yes		
change adaptation and/or			
mitigation in water resources	■ N/A		
management.			
Improve efficiency and	Yes	Reduce groundwater pumping by	
reliability of water supply and other water-related	□ N/A	providing stakeholders with	
infrastructure.	I LI IN/A	more accurate and timely usage data.	
Enhance public awareness and	Yes	By providing the customers with	
understanding of water	163	timely and accurate water usage	
management issues and needs.	□ N/A	information helps lead to an	
	,	understanding of how water is	
		used.	
Address economic challenges of	☐ Yes		
agricultural producers.			
	■ N/A		
Work with counties/	☐ Yes		
communities/groups to make	— N/A		
sure staff capacity exists for actual administration and	■ N/A		
implementation of grant			
funding.			
Turiumg.		<u> </u>	
If no objectives are addressed, de	escribe how the	e project relates to a challenge or op	portunity for the
Region:			, , ,

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the project with respect to:				
	Native American Tribal Communities	■ N/A		
b. I	Disadvantaged Communities ¹	□ N/A	Increased monitoring and early leak detection reducing customer costs associated with undetected leaks.	
c. I	Environmental Justice ²	■ N/A		
d. I	Drought Preparedness	□ N/A	Less groundwater demand due to more efficient monitoring and leak detection.	
	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change ³	□ N/A	Reduced water demand. Reduced fossil fuel consumption necessary for current manual meter reading program. Has the potential to save 20+million gallons of water a year through early leak detection and repair: equal to one summer month worth of usage.	
	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	□ N/A	Reduces fuel use in district vehicles for manual reading of meters in the current program.	
_	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	■ N/A		
¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/).				

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	□ N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	□ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	☐ Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	☐ Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	☐ Yes
	reduction, management and	■ N/A		multipurpose flood management	■ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	□ N/A		restoration and protection	N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	□ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Reduce Water Demand		
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban water use efficiency	■ Yes □ No	Reduced use due to early leak detection and repair in this rural community
Improve Flood Management		
Flood management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Tr	ansfers	
Conveyance – regional/local	■ Yes □ No	Improved system efficiency due to early leak detection and repair allowing more water to be available for delivery to neighboring district.
System reoperation	■ Yes □ No	Locates and reduces water loss from customer services reduce pumping and ground water depletion.
Water transfers	☐ Yes ■ No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	Yes No	

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	☐ Yes ■ No	
Surface storage – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and		Accurate monitoring of gallons pumped vs.
distribution	Yes No	gallons metered. Early leak detection and
	Tes Lino	resulting increased efficiency of distribution
		system.
Groundwater remediation/aquifer	☐ Yes ■ No	
remediation		
Matching water quality to water use	☐ Yes ■ No	
Pollution prevention	Yes No	
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No	
management	103 110	
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ■ No	
Ecosystem restoration	☐ Yes ■ No	
Forest management	Yes No	
Land use planning and management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Recharge area protection	Yes No	
Sediment management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Watershed management	Yes No	
People and Water		
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ■ No	
Outreach and engagement		More information on water use available to
	■ Yes □ No	customers. EQSD also distributes water
	- 1c3 - 100	conservation information/tips to our
		customers.
Water and culture	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water-dependent recreation	Yes No	
Wastewater/NPDES	☐ Yes ■ No	

Other RMS addressed and explanation:

The September 16, 2014 groundwater management legislation that was passed in three bills "embraces the concept that groundwater is best managed locally". This AMR Project responds to that groundwater management legislation.

Current service meter reading process requires manual inspection of each meter that takes 2 men several days to accomplish. Because the six water well meter readings can be read in a fraction of the service meter reading time, there exists a time delay nexus that cannot be reconciled.

The volume of water saved by the project could be one-two million gallons per month. The current annual losses, (estimated to be around 20 million gallons) are equal to one summer month worth of usage.

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

	PROJECT BUDGET						
Project corver a pood of a DAC2: Ver \ \Bar\ No							
Project serves a need of a DAC?: ■ Yes □ No Funding Match Waiver request?: ■ Yes □ No							
1 41	resident water request.:				1		
			Cost Share:				
			Non-State	Cost Share:			
		Requested	Fund Source*	Other State			
	Catagomi	Grant	(Funding	Fund	Total Cost		
	Category	Amount	Match) \$31,750	Source*	Total Cost		
a.	Direct Project Administration		\$31,750		\$31,750		
b.	Land Purchase/Easement						
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering		\$20,125		\$20,125		
	/ Environmental						
d.	Construction/Implementation	\$604,708	\$12,850		\$617,558		
e.	Environmental Compliance/						
	Mitigation/Enhancement						
f.	Construction Administration		\$31,000		\$31,000		
g.	Other Costs						
h.	Construction/Implementation	\$61,971			\$61,971		
	Contingency						
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through	\$666,679	\$95,725		\$762,404		
	(h) for each column)						
j.	Can the Project be phased? Yes	■ No If yes, p	rovide cost breakdo	own by phases			
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Descriptio	n of Phase		
	Phase 1						
	Phase 2						
	Phase 3						
	Phase 4						
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan		Annual operation		-		
	financed for the 20-year planning peri	od for project	funded by month	nly customer serv	ice rates.		
	implementation (not grant funded).	1 . 10					
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp		Yes No				
m.	Describe what impact there may be if	the project is	Continual loss of		-		
	not funded (300 words or less)		potable water ea	•			
			leaks and ineffici	ent and inaccura	te monitoring		
*1 :04	t all sources of funding.		data.				
	t all sources of funding. te: See Project Development Manual, E:	whihit R for assist	tance in completing	this table			
	te: See Project Development Manual, E. tp://featherriver.org/documents/).	ATTIOIL D, TOT 055151	tance in completing	ב נוווס נמטופ			
(III	(<u>nttp://reathernver.org/documents/).</u>						

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation	•	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Cost/benefit analysis; ???	6/15	1 month after grant agreement
b. Final Design		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Selection of meters and retail source for purchase	6/15	1 month after grant agreement
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		☐ Yes ☐ No ■ N/A	N/A		
d. Permitting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	The new meters are unlikely to require permitting	1 month after grant agreement	3 months after grant agreement
e. Construction Contracting		☐ Yes ☐ No ■ N/A	EQSD will install the new meters		
f. Construction Implementation		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Removal of existing meters and installation of new meters	1-3 months after grant agreement	2-4 months after grant agreement
Provide explanation stage is checked as c					

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat	EQSD Water Capital Improvement Program.
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the feasibility of this project.	District Engineer Report (attached)
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much research has been conducted) of the proposed project in 300 words or less.	A decade worth of gallons pumped vs. gallons metered reports show approx. 10% difference each month amounting to over 20million gallons of lost water each year which is equivalent to one summer month's worth of usage. Current service meter reading process requires manual inspection of each meter that takes 2 men several days to accomplish. Because the six water well meter readings can be read in a fraction of the service meter reading time, there exists a time delay nexus that cannot be reconciled.
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g. alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	■ Yes □ No □ N/A
	techniques, etc.).	Reduces the amount of gasoline required for current manual reading method.
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	Yes No N/A If yes, please indicate which groundwater basin. 5-10 American Valley
3,0 ² A	rban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly on incipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3, 100 acre-feet of water annually. By gricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, eith ter to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage	000 customers or supplying more than ner publicly or privately owned, providing

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-42: Automatic Meter Reading (ARM) Project

Project applicant: <u>East Quincy Services District</u>

GHG Emissions Assessment
Project Construction Emissions If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. X The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. X The project requires workers to commute to the project site. The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. X The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
The project requires energy to operate.
The project will generate electricity.
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable X Reduced snowmelt □ Unmet local water needs (drought) □ Increased invasive species
Reduction of GHG's due to the reduction in driving to read water meters.
Water Demand Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable ☐ Increasing seasonal water use variability ☐ Unmet in-stream flow requirements ☐ Climate-sensitive crops ☐ Groundwater drought resiliency X Water curtailment effectiveness
Expected to reduce water loss though more accurate metering and immediate leak detection and repair.

Water Quality
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
 X Not applicable Increasing catastrophic wildfires Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues) Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
Water treatment facility operations
Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)

Flooding

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:

X Not applicable	
Aging critical flood protection	
Wildfires	
Critical infrastructure in a floodplain	
Insufficient flood control facilities	

Ecosystem and Habitat

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
X Not applicable Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
Hydropower
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:
riigh phonty hydropower vulnerability issues.
X Not applicable
Reduced hydropower output

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-42: Automatic Meter Project (ARM)

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

Г	,	The market and acceptance are also as a second			and the fact of the second	
ı	Х	The project requires non-road	a or off-road engines,	, equipment,	or venicies to	complete. If yes

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Other Construction			
Equipment	2	46	7
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
_		Total Emissions	7

Χ	The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. If yes:

	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
2	160	0

X The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
4	46	10		1

The project	t is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

	MS-42: Automatic Meter Project (ARM)			
Project Operating Emissions				
The project requires energy to operate. If yes:				
	Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO₂e	
		kWh (Electricity)	0	
		Therm (Natural Gas)	0	
The project will generate electricity. If yes:				
	Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG red	ductions		
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes:				
	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG reductions			
The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes:				
	Acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
*A negative value indicates GHG reductions				
The project will include new trees. If yes:				
	Acres of Trees Planted	Total MTCO₂e		
	0			
	*A negative value indicates GHG reductions			
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes,				
X explain:				
	Reduces the number of miles and hours driven each month to read water			
	11	neters.		
GHG Emissions Summary				
Construction and development will generate approximately: 9 MTCO ₂ e				
			0 MTCO₂e	
in a given year, operation of the project will result in:				