

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	Grizzly Lake CSD
Name of Primary Contact	Jared D. Recasens, Chief Operator
Name of Secondary Contact	Larry Terrill, Chairman, Board of Directors
Mailing Address	119 Delleker Drive, Portola, CA 96122
E-mail	glrid@att.net; jrwastewater@gmail.com
Phone	530-832-5225 office; 530-927-8459 cell
Other Cooperating Agencies /	
Organizations / Stakeholders	
Is your agency/organization	Yes
committed to the project through	
completion? If not, please explain	

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-11: Delleker Water Meters				
Project Category	■ Water Supply/Water Quality				
	☐ Environmental Protection/Restoration				
	■ Community Water/Wastewater				
	☐ Stakeholder/Public Collaboration and Education				
	☐ Working Landscape Viability				
Project Description	Project will consist of replacing mainline as needed. Estimate				
(Briefly describe the project,	approx 1000 lineal feet of mainline. Replace several service				
in 300 words or less)	laterals and install approx 400 radio read meters and				
	computer software necessary to read the system. All related				
	appurtenances (meter box, yoke, meters, misc. fittings) will				
	also need to be replaced. System is approx 50 - 60 years old				
	and consists of asbestos cement service mains, most laterals				
	are 3/4" soft roll copper configures so that one 3/4" service				
	line feeds two households. The rest of the lines are boiler pipe				
	that was used for the sawmill in Delleker in the early 1900s.				
Project Location Description (e.g.,	Project is located 3 miles west of Portola, CA				
along the south bank of stream/river					
between river miles or miles from					
Towns/intersection and/or address):					

Latitude:	39.8114DegreesNorth
Longitude:	120.4978Degrees West

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

			Quantification
	Will the		(e.g. acres of
	project		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic	☐ Yes		
functions.	_		
	■ N/A		
Reduce potential for			
catastrophic wildland fires in	☐ Yes		
the Region.			
	■ N/A		
Build communication and			
collaboration among water	☐ Yes		
resources stakeholders in the			
Region.	■ N/A		
Work with DWR to develop			
strategies and actions for the	☐ Yes		
management, operation, and			
control of SWP facilities in the	■ N/A		
Upper Feather River Watershed			
in order to increase water			
supply, recreational, and			
environmental benefits to the			
Region.			
Encourage municipal service		Grizzly Lake CSD is a municipal	
providers to participate in	■ Yes	service provider. Replacing	
regional water management	_	asbestos containing water lines	
actions that improve water	□ N/A	and other old lines with new, up-	
supply and water quality.		to-code water lines will improve	
		water quality and delivery. We	
		estimate approx 25 -30%	
		reduction in water use.	
Continue to actively engage in			
FERC relicensing of	☐ Yes		
hydroelectric facilities in the			
Region.	■ N/A		

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	Will the project address the objective? Yes N/A	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective Grant funding is necessary to provide safe, reliable water supplies to the local community. The existing system is 35-45 years old, was not installed properly, and is nearing the end of its useful life. Needs to be brought up to UPC.	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	■ Yes □ N/A	Will be able to perform water loss audits and account for water loss.	
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and Native Americans.	■ Yes	Project is located in a Severely Disadvantaged Community.	
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect groundwater resources.	Yes N/A	Protection of groundwater resources by reducing approx 25 - 30% reduction in water use.	
Improve coordination of land use and water resources planning.	☐ Yes		
Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal water use efficiency.	■ Yes	Project will reduce consumption of water by approx 9 million gallons of water annually	
Effectively address climate change adaptation and/or mitigation in water resources management.	■ Yes	There will be less of a tax on power system by eliminating majority of leaks in system.	
Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and other water-related infrastructure.	■ Yes	Project will reduce wear and tear on well pump. Improve efficiency by eliminating majority of leaks in system.	
Enhance public awareness and understanding of water management issues and needs.	☐ Yes ■ N/A	.,	
Address economic challenges of agricultural producers.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		
Work with counties/ communities/groups to make sure staff capacity exists for	■ Yes	The Grizzly Lake CSD is fully prepared to work with the IRWM and the County to administer any	

			Quantification
	Will the		(e.g. acres of
	project		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
actual administration and		resultant grant and see this	
implementation of grant		project through to completion.	
funding.		We are prepared to resource	
		accordingly.	

		accordingly	•			
If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:						
IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND	DENEEITS					
Please provide a summary of the if not applicable; do no leave a bl	expected proje		•			
If applicable, describe benefits or	r impacts of the	e project wit	th respect to:			
a. Native American Tribal Com	munities	■ N/A				
b. Disadvantaged Communities	s ¹	□ N/A	Entire project is locate Disadvantaged Comm	•		
c. Environmental Justice ²		□ N/A	Improve water supply primarily composed of Grizzly Lake CSD ensu services regardless of income, or any other	of minorities. The res fair and equal race, culture,		
d. Drought Preparedness		□ N/A	Will be able to monitor meters. Account for a performing comprehension	missing water by		
e. Assist the region in adapting climate change ³	g to effects of	□ N/A	Reducing water use b gallons per year.	y approx 9 million		

f. Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)

g. Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere

■ N/A

■ N/A

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an a	annual median household (MHI)
income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. I	DWR's DAC mapping is available on the
UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/).	
² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of	of all races, cultures, and incomes with
respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforce	rcement of environmental laws,
regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice ben	nefit would be to improve conditions
(e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorit	ties.
³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, ex	extended drought, and associated
secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedi	limentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	■ Yes	g. Drinking water treatment and		■ Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	□ N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	☐ Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	■ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	☐ Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	■ N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	☐ Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	☐ Yes
	reduction, management and	■ N/A		multipurpose flood management	■ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	☐ Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	■ N/A		restoration and protection	■ N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	■ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?		Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable	
Reduce Water Demand				
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	☐ Yes	■ No		
Urban water use efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No		Rural water use efficiency	
Improve Flood Management				
Flood management	☐ Yes	■ No		
Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers				
Conveyance – regional/local	■ Yes	No	Upgrade infrastructure facilities.	

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
System reoperation	-	The improvement of existing operations and
	Yes No	management procedures of water facilities to
_		meet needs more efficiently and reliably
Water transfers	Yes No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	Yes No	
Surface storage – regional/local	Yes No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and	■ Yes □ No	Improve infrastructure by upgrading
distribution		mainlines, distribution lines and installing
		water meters.
Groundwater remediation/aquifer	Yes No	
remediation	- v - 🗆 v	Language Market and the Market and the second and
Matching water quality to water use	■ Yes □ No	Improved infrastructure will allow system
Dallistias surestias	Dyes - Ne	operation to improve water quality.
Pollution prevention	☐ Yes ■ No	
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No	
management		
Practice Resource Stewardship	DV. BN.	
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ■ No	
Ecosystem restoration	☐ Yes ■ No	
Forest management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Land use planning and management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Recharge area protection	☐ Yes ■ No	
Sediment management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Watershed management	☐ Yes ■ No	
People and Water		
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ■ No	
Outreach and engagement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water and culture	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water-dependent recreation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Wastewater/NPDES	☐ Yes ■ No	
Other RMS addressed and explanation	า:	

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

	PROJECT BUDGET							
	Project serves a need of a DAC?: Yes No							
Fui	Funding Match Waiver request?: ■ Yes							
			Cost Share:					
			Non-State	Cost Share:				
		Requested	Fund Source*	Other State				
		Grant	(Funding	Fund	Total			
	Category	Amount	Match)	Source*	Cost			
a.	Direct Project Administration	10,000			10,000			
b.	Land Purchase/Easement							
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering	75,000			75,000			
	/ Environmental							
d.	Construction/Implementation	1,200,000			1,200,000			
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement							
f.	Construction Administration	11,000			11,000			
g.	Other Costs							
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	204,000			204,000			
:	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through	1,500,000			1,500,000			
i.	(h) for each column)	1,300,000			1,500,000			
		— N						
j.	Can the Project be phased? Yes		provide cost brea					
	_	Project Cost	O&M Cost	Description	on of Phase			
	Phase 1							
	Phase 2							
	Phase 3							
	Phase 4							
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan		•	venue will help				
	financed for the 20-year planning peri	od for project		Removal of ill	O .			
	implementation (not grant funded).			ill result in a re	auction of			
	Has a Cost/Danafit analysis has a same	alata da	maintenance o					
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp	•	☐ Yes ■ No					
m.	Describe what impact there may be if	the project is		CA water redu				
	not funded (300 words or less)			m upkeep will i				
				y will decrease.	Fire flow			
			will be dramat	ically affected.				

*List all sources of funding.

Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table (http://featherriver.org/documents/).

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation	•	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Evaluated by district staff. Will need Engineer/expert assistance.	Upon execution of grant agreement	1 month after funding agreement
b. Final Design		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Create final design & engineering for project.	2 months after funding received	4 months after funding received
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Anticipate negative declaration. Approve & file CEQA	4 months after funding received	7 months after funding received
d. Permitting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Project engineer will prepare & submit necessary permits	7 months after funding received	8.5 months after funding received
e. Construction Contracting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Request for proposal thru notice to proceed.	8.5 months after funding received	9 months after funding received
f. Construction Implementation		■ Yes □ No □ N/A	Complete installation of water meters & sign off on project.	9 months after funding received	12 months after funding received
Provide explanation stage is checked as c					

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	Grizzly Lake CSD Capital Improvement		
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General	Plan		
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat			
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).			
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Anticipate an Engineer's Report		
	feasibility of this project.			
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	Installation of new pipelines and the		
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	necessary appurtenances, the radio		
	300 words or less.	read meters and the appropriate		
		software will ensure OSHA compliance		
		and that NFPA and AWWA codes are		
		met. The project's improved efficiency		
		will save approx. 9 million gallons of		
		water per year and improve fire		
		protection.		
d.				
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	☐ Yes ☐ No ■ N/A		
	techniques, etc.).	If yes, please describe.		
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A		
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A		
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	■ Yes □ No □ N/A		
		If yes, please indicate which		
		groundwater basin.		
		Humbug Valley		
¹ U	rban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly of	or privately owned, providing water for		
mι	unicipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,	000 customers or supplying more than		
3,000 acre-feet of water annually.				
² A	gricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, eith	ner publicly or privately owned, providing		
wa	ter to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage	that receives recycled water		

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-11: Delleker Water Meters

Project applicant: Grizzly Lake CSD

GHG Emissions Assessment

OHO LIIIISSIOHS ASSESSITICHU
Project Construction Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 ☑ The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. ☑ The project requires materials to be transported from outside of the UFR watershed. ☑ The project requires workers from outside of the UFR watershed. ☑ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. ☑ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 ☐ The project requires energy to operate. ☐ The project will generate electricity. ☐ The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. ☐ The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable Reduced snowmelt Unmet local water needs (drought) Increased invasive species
This project meets drought preparedness by achieving long-term reduction of water use and promoting water conservation. Having meters will allow GLCSD to perform water audits and help us to measure gallons used by each household. We estimate 25 - 30% savings in water usage.
Water Demand Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable Increasing seasonal water use variability Unmet in-stream flow requirements Climate-sensitive crops Groundwater drought resiliency Water curtailment effectiveness
This project will help the District to better meet drought preparedness by achieving long-term reduction of water use and promoting water conservation. Having meters will allow GLCSD to perform water audits and help measure actual gallons used by each household. Using meters we estimate 25 - 30% savings in water usage. People using metered rather than flat rates cut down on their water use.

Water Quality
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
☐ Increasing catastrophic wildfires
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
☐ Water treatment facility operations
\boxtimes Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
Best guess is approximately 9 million gallons of water saved each year. GLCSD will be able to perform water audits and help measure actual gallons used by each household. We estimate overall a 25 - 30% savings each year in water usage.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
Not applicable ■ Not applicable Not applicable
Aging critical flood protection
Wildfires
Critical infrastructure in a floodplain
Insufficient flood control facilities

MS-11: Delleker Water Meters

MS-11	. Del	leker \	Mater	Meters
INIO-TT	. Dei	iekei i	vvalei	Merers

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

X The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Dumpers/Tenders	2	10	1
Excavators	2	90	79
Concrete/Industrial			
Saws	2	45	19
Rubber Tired Loaders	1	10	4
Skid Steer Loaders	1	10	1
Other Construction			
Equipment	1	15	1
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	105

Χ	The project requires materials to be transported from outside of the UFR watershed. If y	es:

	15	90	2
Ro	und Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
Tot	tal Number of	Distance	
		Average Trip	

The project requires workers from outside of the UFR watershed. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
10	90	200		62

The project	is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

MS-11: Delleker Water Meters **Project Operating Emissions** The project requires energy to operate. If yes: **Annual Energy Needed** Total MTCO₂e Unit kWh (Electricity) Therm (Natural Gas) 0 The project will generate electricity. If yes: Annual kWh Generated Total MTCO₂e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes: Acres Protected from Wildfire Total MTCO2e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes: Acres of Protected Wetlands Total MTCO₂e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will include new trees. If yes: Acres of Trees Planted Total MTCO₂e *A negative value indicates GHG reductions **GHG Emissions Summary** Construction and development will generate approximately: 168 MTCO₂e

In a given year, operation of the project will result in:

MS-11: Delleker Water Meters Page 2

0 MTCO₂e