

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	Plumas Eureka Community Services District		
Name of Primary Contact	Frank Motzkus, General Manager		
Name of Secondary Contact	Heather Kotrc, Administrative Manager		
Mailing Address	200 Lundy Lane, Blairsden, CA 96103		
E-mail	frmotzkus@digitalpath.net		
Phone	(530) 836-1953		
Other Cooperating Agencies /			
Organizations / Stakeholders			
Is your agency/organization	Yes. The project is dependent on funding.		
committed to the project through			
completion? If not, please explain			

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-30: Wastewater Treatment Plant #6 Upgrade		
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship		
	☐ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies		
	Municipal Services		
	Water Supply/Water Quality		
	Community Water/Wastewater		
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee		
	☐ Uplands/Forest		
Project Description			
(Briefly describe the project,	Wastewater treatment plant #6 is approximately 35 years old.		
in 300 words or less)	An engineering report needs to be done to identify the		
	possible upgrades needed and/or the necessity for a complete		
	plant replacement. Current treatment methods may not be		
	sufficient to meet unrestricted reuse of treated wastewater		
	for irrigation purposes.		
Project Location Description (e.g.,			
along the south bank of stream/river	Work will be performed at existing wastewater treatment		
between river miles or miles from	plant #6, which is at the end of West Ponderosa Drive.		
Towns/intersection and/or address):			
Latitude:	39° 47′ 31.7322″		
Longitude:	120° 38′ 59.7588″		

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic functions.	N/A		
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	N/A		
Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the Region.	N/A		
Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and control of SWP facilities in the Upper Feather River Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region.	N/A		
Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality.	Yes	PECSD is a municipal service provider. The upgraded/new treatment facility will reduce the risk of raw sewage contamination to the Middle Fork of the Feather River, and will improve the quality of effluent being released into the river after treatment. Additionally the treated wastewater will be reused for irrigating a local golf course making the equivalent amount of irrigation water for other supply needs.	

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the Region.	N/A		
Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	N/A		
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	Yes	Treatment plant upgrade or replacement will ensure that all raw sewage collected from the community is properly treated and disposed of. Improvements will also decrease the risk of raw sewage flowing into the Middle Fork of the Feather River.	Unknown
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and Native Americans.	N/A		
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect groundwater resources.	N/A		
Improve coordination of land use and water resources planning.	N/A		
Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal water use efficiency.	N/A		
Effectively address climate change adaptation and/or mitigation in water resources management.	N/A		
Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and other water-related infrastructure.	Yes	The treated wastewater will be reused for irrigation in a local golf course. The community will benefit from reduced dependence on "clean" surface/ground water for irrigation during drought years.	
Enhance public awareness and understanding of water management issues and needs.	N/A		
Address economic challenges of agricultural producers.	N/A		

	Will the project		Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Work with counties/		PECSD is prepared to work with	
communities/groups to make	Yes	the IRWM and the County to	
sure staff capacity exists for		administer any resultant grant	
actual administration and		and see this project through to	
implementation of grant		completion. We are prepared to	
funding.		resource accordingly.	

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:	

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If a	oplicable, describe benefits or impacts of the	project wit	h respect to:
a.	Native American Tribal Communities	N/A	
b.	Disadvantaged Communities ¹	N/A	
C.	Environmental Justice ²	Yes	PECSD ensures fair and equal services regardless of race, culture, income, or any other cultural factors. The upgraded/new wastewater treatment facility will improve sanitation for all members of the community as well as tourists.
d.	Drought Preparedness	Yes	The treated wastewater will be reused for irrigation in a local golf course. The community will benefit from reduced dependence on "clean" surface/ground water for irrigation during drought years.
e.	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change ³	N/A	

f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	N/A	
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	N/A	

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/).

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water		g. Drinking water treatment and
	conservation, water use efficiency	Yes	distribution N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-		h. Watershed protection and
	up, treatment, management	N/A	management N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native		i. Contaminant and salt removal
	species, creation/enhancement of		through reclamation/desalting,
	wetlands,	N/A	other treatment technologies and Yes
	acquisition/protection/restoration		conveyance of recycled water for
	of open space and watershed lands		distribution to users
d.	Non-point source pollution		j. Planning and implementation of
	reduction, management and	NI/A	multipurpose flood management
	monitoring	N/A	programs N/A
e.	Groundwater recharge and	NI/A	k. Ecosystem and fisheries
	management projects	N/A	restoration and protection N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,		
	reclamation, and improvement of	Yes	
	water quality		

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
Reduce Water Demand		
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	No	
Urban water use efficiency	Yes	Improvements made would provide recycled wastewater for irrigation, thereby reducing the need of surface water supplies.
Improve Flood Management		
Flood management	No	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Ti	ransfers	
Conveyance – regional/local	No	
System reoperation	No	
Water transfers	No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	No	
Precipitation Enhancement	No	
Municipal recycled water	Yes	Recycled water can be directly used for irrigation on the Plumas Pines Golf Course and surrounding open space areas.
Surface storage – regional/local	No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and distribution	No	
Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation	Yes	
Matching water quality to water use	Yes	Recycled water can be directly used for irrigation on the Plumas Pines Golf Course and surrounding open space areas.
Pollution prevention	No	
Salt and salinity management	No	
Urban storm water runoff management	No	
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	No	
Ecosystem restoration	No	
Forest management	No	
Land use planning and management	No	
Recharge area protection	No	
Sediment management	No	
Watershed management	No	

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
People and Water		
Economic incentives	No	
Outreach and engagement	No	
Water and culture	No	
Water-dependent recreation	No	
Wastewater/NPDES	Yes	Use of recycled water would require a discharge permit from the State Water Resources Control Board.

Other RMS addressed and explanation:		

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

PROJECT BUDGET
Project serves a need of a DAC?: No Funding Match Waiver request?: No

	Category	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration	100%	0	0	Unknown
b.	Land Purchase/Easement	100%	0	0	Unknown
C.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental	100%	0	0	Unknown
d.	Construction/Implementation	100%	0	0	Unknown
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	100%	0	0	Unknown
f.	Construction Administration	100%	0	0	Unknown
g.	Other Costs	100%	0	0	Unknown
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	100%	0	0	Unknown
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
j.	Can the Project be phased? No If y	es , provide cost	breakdown by phas	ses	1

		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Description of Phase
	Phase 1			
	Phase 2			
	Phase 3			
	Phase 4			
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan	ce costs will be	Service rates wor	uld be increased to meet O&M
	financed for the 20-year planning perio	od for project	costs when need	ed.
	implementation (not grant funded).			
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp	oleted?	No	
m.	Describe what impact there may be if	the project is	Failure to adequa	ately treat sewage flows and
	not funded (300 words or less)		possible pollution	n of the Middle Fork of the
			Feather River.	
*Lis	t all sources of funding.			
No	te: See Project Development Manual, Ex	khibit B, for assist	ance in completing	g this table
(<u>ht</u>	tp://featherriver.org/documents/).			

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

	Check the Current Project		Description of Activities in Each	Planned/ Actual Start	Planned/ Actual Completion
Project Stage	Stage	Completed?	Project Stage	Date (mm/yr)	Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
b. Final Design		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
d. Permitting		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
e. Construction Contracting		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
f. Construction Implementation		No	TBD	TBD	TBD
Provide explanation stage is checked as c					

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	Unknown number of plans related to wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal. Name/numbers of regulations for water quality of treated water? Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the feasibility of this project.	None
C.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much research has been conducted) of the proposed project in 300 words or less.	Wastewater treatment plant #6 is approximately 35 years old, and is urgent need of retrofitting or replacement in order to comply with State and Federal regulations. In addition, the retrofitted/new wastewater treatment facility will be equipped for reclamation of the water for irrigation of a local golf course. Reuse of treated wastewater improves water supply in the area.
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g. alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID techniques, etc.).	Yes Treated wastewater will be recycled for irrigation of the Plumas Pines Golf Course and surrounding open space areas.
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	No
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	No
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	No

¹ Urban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than 3,000 acre-feet of water annually.

² Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-30:Wastewater Treatment Plant No.6 Upgrade

Project applicant: Plumas Eureka Community Services District

GHG Emissions Assessment

and Emissions Assessment
Project Construction Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 □ The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. □ The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. □ The project requires workers to commute to the project site. □ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. □ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
The project requires energy to operate.
The project will generate electricity.
☐ The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Reduced snowmelt
□ Unmet local water needs (drought)
Increased invasive species
Reuse of treated wastewater for irrigation will help meet local water needs during drought.
Water Demand Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
☐ Increasing seasonal water use variability
Unmet in-stream flow requirements
Climate-sensitive crops
Groundwater drought resiliency
Water curtailment effectiveness
???
Water Quality Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water quality vulnerability issues: Not applicable Increasing catastrophic wildfires

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Climate Change- Project Assessment Checklist
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
✓ Water treatment facility operations
☐ Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
The upgraded/new treatment facility will reduce the risk of raw sewage contamination to the Middle Fork of the Feather River, and will improve the quality of effluent being released into the river after treatment. Additionally the treated wastewater will be reused for irrigating a local golf course making the equivalent amount of irrigation water for other supply needs.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable ☐ Aging critical flood protection ☐ Wildfires ☐ Critical infrastructure in a floodplain ☐ Insufficient flood control facilities
Ecosystem and Habitat Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
✓ Not applicable✓ Climate-sensitive fauna or flora

Quantified environmental flow requirements

Recreation and economic activity

Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool	
Erosion and sedimentation	
☐ Endangered or threatened species	
Fragmented habitat	
Hydropower	
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the	ne following
high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:	
Not applicable	
 Not applicable ☐ Reduced hydropower output 	

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

		Maximum Number Per	Total 9 Hour Days in		f yes:
Type of Equi			Total 8-Hour Days in Operation	Total MTCO₂e	
				0	
				0	
				0	
				0	
				0	
				0	
				0	
				0	
			Total Emissions	0	
			a to the project site. II	ves:	
Total Numbe	er of	Average Trip Distance	d to the project site. If	yes:	
	er of	Average Trip	Total MTCO ₂ e	yes:	
Total Numbe Round Trips	er of	Average Trip Distance (Miles) 100	Total MTCO₂e	yes:	
Total Numbe Round Trips project requires wo Average Nur	er of 5 orkers to	Average Trip Distance (Miles) 100 commute to th Total Number	Total MTCO ₂ e 1 e project site. If yes: Average Round Trip Distance Traveled		
Total Number Round Trips	er of 5 orkers to mber	Average Trip Distance (Miles) 100 commute to th Total Number of Workdays	Total MTCO ₂ e 1 e project site. If yes: Average Round Trip Distance Traveled (Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
Total Numbe Round Trips project requires wo Average Nur	er of 5 orkers to	Average Trip Distance (Miles) 100 commute to th Total Number	Total MTCO ₂ e 1 e project site. If yes: Average Round Trip Distance Traveled (Miles)		
Total Number Round Trips Project requires wo Average Nur of Workers	er of 5 orkers to mber 2	Average Trip Distance (Miles) 100 commute to th Total Number of Workdays 30	Total MTCO ₂ e 1 e project site. If yes: Average Round Trip Distance Traveled (Miles)	Total MTCO ₂ e	

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the

MS-30 Wastewater Treatment Plant 6 Upgrade

construction phase.

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-30 Wastewater Treatment Plant 6 Upgrade **Project Operating Emissions** The project requires energy to operate. If yes: **Annual Energy Needed** Unit Total MTCO₂e 35,000 kWh (Electricity) Therm (Natural Gas) 0 The project will generate electricity. If yes: Total MTCO₂e Annual kWh Generated *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes: Acres Protected from Wildfire Total MTCO2e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes: Acres of Protected Wetlands Total MTCO2e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will include new trees. If yes: Acres of Trees Planted Total MTCO₂e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain: **GHG Emissions Summary** Construction and development will generate approximately: 3 MTCO₂e 7 MTCO₂e In a given year, operation of the project will result in: