

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	Grizzly Lake CSD
Name of Primary Contact	Jared Recasens, Chief Operator
Name of Secondary Contact	Larry Terrill, Chairman, Board of Directors
Mailing Address	119 Delleker Drive, Portola, CA 96122
E-mail	glrid@att.net, jrwastewater@gmail.com
Phone	530-832-5225 Office, 530-927-8459 Cell
Other Cooperating Agencies /	
Organizations / Stakeholders	
Is your agency/organization	Yes. The CSD staff and board members will be instrumental in
committed to the project through	overseeing the grant process and ensuring proper
completion? If not, please explain	implementation.

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-9: Crocker Water Service Meters		
Project Category	■ Water Supply/Water Quality		
	☐ Environmental Protection/Restoration		
	■ Community Water/Wastewater		
	Stakeholder/Public Collaboration and Education		
	☐ Working Landscape Viability		
Project Description	Project will consist of replacing all the illegal service laterals to		
(Briefly describe the project,	meet UPC and install new water meters. Project is located in		
in 300 words or less)	extremely steep elevation with several different pressure		
	zones ranging from 85 psi to 230 psi. Every lateral needs to be		
	upgraded from property line to mainline and install approx		
	120 radio read meters and computer software to monitor and		
	read the system. Meters will be calibrated to accurately		
	measure flow of water to meet Mfg. Specs. Additional fire		
	hydrants will be added to meet NFPA standards to improve		
	overall fire protection. Age of the system is 35-45 years. All		
	laterals are DB120 electrical conduit not rated for potable		
	water service use. Project will increase water conservation,		
	ability to identify leaks and make system repairs to prevent		
	water losses in the distribution system.		

Project Location Description (e.g., along the south bank of stream/river between river miles or miles from Towns/intersection and/or address):	Project is located approximately 15 miles North of Portola, CA
Latitude:	39.870167degreesN
Longitude:	120.452727degreesW

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM	Will the project address the	Brief explanation of project	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic functions.	☐ Yes		
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		
Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the	☐ Yes		
Region. Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and	■ N/A □ Yes		
control of SWP facilities in the Upper Feather River Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region.	■ N/A		
Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality.	■ Yes	We estimate there will be a 25-30% reduction in water use by identifying leaks and conservation by users when required to pay for actual use of water.	25-30% reduction in water use
Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Address economic challenges of		System is 35-45 years old - was	This project cannot
municipal service providers to serve customers.	■ Yes	not installed property. Nearing the end of its useful life. Needs	be completed without grant
	□ N/A	to be brought up to UPC.	funding.
Drotact restore and enhance	■ Yes	Will be able to perform water	Accounting of
Protect, restore, and enhance	■ 1es	I	_
the quality of surface and	l п,	loss audits and account for water	water losses.
groundwater resources for all	□ N/A	loss.	Repairing leaks to
beneficial uses, consistent with			reduce losses.
the RWQC Basin Plan.	<u> </u>		
Address water resources and	■ Yes	The Crocker Water Meter Project	Replace unsafe
wastewater needs of DACs and	_	falls entirely within a greater	pipes with new
Native Americans.	□ N/A	Eastern Plumas County DAC.	water delivery
Tradive / intertearis.		Eastern Framas County Brite.	pipes.
Coordinate management of	■ Yes	Protocting groundwater	Reduce water
Coordinate management of	■ res	Protecting groundwater	
recharge areas and protect	l 🗖	resources by reducing water	use/loss by 25-
groundwater resources.	□ N/A	use/loss by 25-30%.	30%.
Improve coordination of land	☐ Yes		
use and water resources			
planning.	■ N/A		
Maximize agricultural,	■ Yes	Will reduce municipal water use	Reduce water
environmental and municipal	_	by approximately 25-30%.	use/loss by 25-
water use efficiency.	□ N/A	, , ,	30%.
Effectively address climate	■ Yes	Project will reduce consumption	Reduce water
change adaptation and/or		of water by approximately 25-	use/loss by 25-
mitigation in water resources	□ N/A	30%.	30%.
_	L IV/A	30%.	30%.
management.	- W	Well to the first of the second	Dealers of the second
Improve efficiency and	■ Yes	Will improve efficiency by	Replace unsafe and
reliability of water supply and		eliminating the majority of leaks	leaky pipes with
other water-related	□ N/A	in system & reduce wear and	new water delivery
infrastructure.		tear on well pump and related	pipes.
		appurtenances.	
Enhance public awareness and	☐ Yes		
understanding of water			
management issues and needs.	■ N/A		
Address economic challenges of	ı □ Yes		
agricultural producers.			
a.g. realta. a. produceror	■ N/A		
Work with counties/	■ Yes	GLCSD will work with the County	
1	163	_	
communities/groups to make	N1 / 2	to ensure proper administration	
sure staff capacity exists for	□ N/A	and implementation of grant	
actual administration and		funding for this project.	
implementation of grant			
funding.			

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:			
IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS Please provide a summary of the expected projection if not applicable; do no leave a blank cell. Note:	that DWR er	ncourages multi-benefit projects.	
If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the	project wit	h respect to:	
a. Native American Tribal Communities	■ N/A		
b. Disadvantaged Communities ¹	□ N/A	The project is located entirely within a greater Eastern Plumas County disadvantaged community.	
c. Environmental Justice ²	□ N/A	The CSD ensures fair and equal services regardless of race, culture, income, or any other cultural factors.	
d. Drought Preparedness	□ N/A	Will be able to monitor use with installed meters and account for missing water. Perform comprehensive water audits.	
e. Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change ³	□ N/A	Water use will be reduced by approximately 25 - 30 % per year.	
f. Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	■ N/A		
g. Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	■ N/A		
¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a cor income that is less than 80 percent of the Statew UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/). ² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair trea respect to the development, adoption, implemer regulations and policies. An example of environm (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area Climate change effects are likely to include incresecondary effects such as increased wildfire risk,	tment of pentation and one that ion and one that ion and one that is a firm of the that is a firm of in the that is a firm of the th	ople of all races, cultures, and incomes with enforcement of environmental laws, e benefit would be to improve conditions inorities.	

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	■ Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	■ Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	□ N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	☐ Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	■ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	■ N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	☐ Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	☐ Yes
	reduction, management and	■ N/A		multipurpose flood management	■ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	☐ Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	■ N/A		restoration and protection	■ N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	■ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
Reduce Water Demand		
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban water use efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Flood Management		
Flood management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Tr	ansfers	
Conveyance – regional/local	■ Yes □ No	Upgrade infrastructure facilities.
System reoperation	■ Yes □ No	Improvement of existing operations and management procedures of water facilities to meet needs more efficiently and reliably
Water transfers	Yes x No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	☐ Yes ■ No	
Surface storage – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and distribution	■ Yes □ No	Improve infrastructure by upgrading distribution lines & installing water meters.

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Groundwater remediation/aquifer	☐ Yes ■ No	
remediation		
Matching water quality to water use	☐ Yes ■ No	
Pollution prevention	☐ Yes ■ No	
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No	
management		
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ■ No	
Ecosystem restoration	☐ Yes ■ No	
Forest management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Land use planning and management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Recharge area protection	☐ Yes ■ No	
Sediment management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Watershed management	☐ Yes ■ No	
People and Water		
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ■ No	
Outreach and engagement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water and culture	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water-dependent recreation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Wastewater/NPDES	☐ Yes ■ No	
Other RMS addressed and explanation	n:	

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

	PROJECT BUDGET				
	Project serves a need of a DAC?: ■ Yes □ No Funding Match Waiver request?: ■ Yes □ No				
Fui	nding Match Waiver request?: Yes	LI NO			
			Cost Share:		
		_	Non-State	Cost Share:	
		Requested	Fund Source*	Other State	
	6 . 1	Grant	(Funding	Fund	T. 1. 1. 0
_	Category	Amount	Match)	Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration	10,000			10,000
b.	Land Purchase/Easement				
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering	75,000			75,000
	/ Environmental	1.000.000			
d.	Construction/Implementation	1,200,000			1,200,000
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement				
f.	Construction Administration	11,000			11,000
g.	Other Costs				
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	204,000			204,000
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	1,500,000			1,500,000
j.	Can the Project be phased?	■ No If yes, pr	rovide cost breakde	own by phases	
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Descriptio	n of Phase
	Phase 1			-	
	Phase 2				
	Phase 3				
	Phase 4				
k.	Explain how operation and maintenar	ice costs will be	Anticipated rever		•
	financed for the 20-year planning peri	od for project	maintain. Cut do		
	implementation (not grant funded).		removing illegal s		
			several thousand	dollars to repair	each time
I.	system breaks. Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been completed? Yes No				
	•			in lines NA/ill be c	
m.	Describe what impact there may be if not funded (300 words or less)	tne project is	Continue to repa		
	not fullucu (300 wolus of less)	CA water reductions. System upkeep will increase, reliability will decrease. Fire flow will		•	
	be dramatically affected.				
*Lis	*List all sources of funding.				
	Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table				
(<u>ht</u>	(http://featherriver.org/documents/).				

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation	•	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Evaluated by district staff. Needs expert evaluation	TBD Pending Funding	7,7
b. Final Design		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Create final design & engineering for project	TBD	
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Approve & file CEQA documentation including negative declaration	TBD	
d. Permitting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Project engineer will prepare & submit necessary permits	TBD	
e. Construction Contracting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Request for proposal thru notice to proceed.	TBD	
f. Construction Implementation		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Complete project and sign off	TBD	
Provide explanation stage is checked as c		• •			

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a. List the adopted planning documents the proposed				
project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General				
Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat				
Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).				
b. List technical reports and studies supporting the				
feasibility of this project.				
c. Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much				
research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	Water meters will enable GLCSD to			
300 words or less.	perform water loss audits and account			
	for water loss. The US EPA Water			
	Conservation Plan Guidelines include			
	water metering and water accounting			
	and loss control as Level 1 measures for			
	water conservation. According to			
	Renwick and Green (2000) and Beecher			
	et al. (1994) charging customers by			
	volume sends a price signal to			
	customers to use the resource more			
	efficiently. Additionally data from other			
	water purveyors indicate reductions in			
	individuals' use of water when users are			
	required to pay for the amount of water			
	used as compared to a flat rate for			
	water.			
d. Does the project implement green technology (e.g.	☐ Yes ☐ No ■ N/A			
alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	If yes, please describe.			
techniques, etc.)				
e. Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A			
f. Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A			
g. Is the project related to groundwater?	■ Yes □ No □ N/A			
go to the project resident to great an arrange to	If yes, please indicate which			
	groundwater basin.			
	Grizzly Valley GWB			
	,, -			
¹ Urban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly o	or privately owned, providing water for			
municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than				
3,000 acre-feet of water annually.				
² Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, eith	ner publicly or privately owned, providing			
water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.				

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-9 Crocker Water Service Meters

Project applicant: Grizzly Lake CSD

GHG Emissions Assessment
Project Construction Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 ☑ The project requires non road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. ☑ The project requires materials to be transported from outside of the UFR watershed. ☑ The project requires workers from outside of the UFR watershed. ☑ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. ☑ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 ☐ The project requires energy to operate. ☐ The project will generate electricity. ☐ The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
The project will affect wetland acreage.

The project will include new trees.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Reduced snowmelt
Unmet local water needs (drought)
☐ Increased invasive species
Project will consist of replacing all the illegal service laterals to meet UPC. This project meets drought
preparedness by achieving long-term reduction of water use and promoting water conservation.
Objective of this project is to be able to find and fix leaks in water system and be able to record usage by
individuals; enforce reduction in water usage. Having meters installed, we estimate 25 - 30% reduction
in water usage.
in water usuge.
Water Demand
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
flight priority water demand vulnerability issues.
☐ Not applicable
☐ Increasing seasonal water use variability
Unmet in-stream flow requirements
Climate-sensitive crops
Groundwater drought resiliency
Water curtailment effectiveness
This project will improve efficiency by eliminating the majority of leaks in an old system that was not
installed properly. It needs to be brought up to UPC. We estimate the groundwater resources will be
protected by reducing water use by 25 - 30% by user conservation of water. Having meters will allow us
to monitor usage by meter.
to monitor usage by meter.

Water Quality
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
Not applicable
Increasing catastrophic wildfires
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
Water treatment facility operations
Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
We will be protecting groundwater resources by reducing domestic water use by 25 - 30%. Efficiency will be improved by eliminating the majority of leaks in the system and will also reduce wear and tear on well pump. Additional fire hydrants will be added to meet NFPA standards to improve overall fire protection.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool

Ecosystem and Habitat
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
Not applicable ■ Not applicable Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
Hydropower
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-9	Crocker	Water	Service	Meters

GHG Emissions Analysis

Proi	iect	Constr	uction	Fmis	sions
ГІО	CCL	COHSU	uction	LIIII3	310113

X The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

t requires non-road (les, equipment, or venici	es to complete. If yo
	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Excavators	2	150	131
Paving Equipment	1	30	8
Off-Highway Trucks	3	150	559
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	697

Χ	The project requires materials to be transported from outside of the UFR watershed. If yes
I' '	The project requires materials to be transported from eatering of the error factoring at 17 years

	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
10	90	1

X The project requires workers from outside of the UFR watershed. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
10	150	100		51

The project is expe	ected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:
	CO produced by construction activities

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-9 Crocker Water Service Meters

ine proje	ct requires energy to operate. If yes:	<u> </u>	1
	Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO ₂ e
		kWh (Electricity)	0
		Therm (Natural Gas)	0
The proje	ct will generate electricity. If yes:		
	Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO₂e	
		(0
	*A negative value indicates GHG re	eductions	_
-			
The proje	ct will proactively manage forests to	<u> </u>	s:
The proje	ct will proactively manage forests to Acres Protected from Wildfire	reduce wildfire risk. If ye Total MTCO₂e	s:
The proje	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO₂e	
The proje		Total MTCO₂e	
_	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO₂e ceductions	
_	*A negative value indicates GHG re	Total MTCO₂e ceductions	
_	*A negative value indicates GHG rect will affect wetland acreage. If yes	Total MTCO ₂ e ceductions	
_	*A negative value indicates GHG rect will affect wetland acreage. If yes	Total MTCO ₂ e eductions Total MTCO ₂ e	
The proje	*A negative value indicates GHG rect will affect wetland acreage. If yes Acres of Protected Wetlands *A negative value indicates GHG rect was acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO ₂ e eductions Total MTCO ₂ e	
The proje	*A negative value indicates GHG rect will affect wetland acreage. If yes Acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO ₂ e eductions Total MTCO ₂ e	
The proje	*A negative value indicates GHG rect will affect wetland acreage. If yes Acres of Protected Wetlands *A negative value indicates GHG rect was acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO ₂ e eductions Total MTCO ₂ e	

GHG Emissions Summary

Construction and development will generate approximately:	750 MTCO₂e
In a given year, operation of the project will result in:	₀ MTCO ₂ e