

## **UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM**

## **PROJECT INFORMATION FORM**

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

#### I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	U.S. Forest Service
Name of Primary Contact	Randy Westmoreland
Name of Secondary Contact	Sharon Falvey
Mailing Address	PO Box 95, Sierraville CA 96126
E-mail	rwestmoreland@fs.fed.us
Phone	530-587-3558
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Sierra Valley Resource Conservation District
Organizations / Stakeholders	
Is your agency/organization	Yes
committed to the project through	
completion? If not, please explain	

#### II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	FMW-14: Folchi Meadow Project			
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship			
	☑ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies			
	☐ Municipal Services			
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee			
	☐ Uplands/Forest			
Project Description	Restore the meadow, stream and riparian ecosystems in the			
(Briefly describe the project,	Folchi Sub Watershed of Carman Creek Watershed. The			
in 300 words or less)	project is to remove railroad grade on the north side of the			
	valley to reconnect ephemeral and intermittent drainages that			
	have been disconnected by the rail road gradeconstruction.			
	Obliterate the gully (existing channel) through approximately			
	1 mile of Folchi Valley using a combination of off-site material			
	and locally generated (in channel) material to intermittently			
	fill the existing channel. This will reconnect the stream with			
	the historic channels on the meadow surface and the			
	floodplain.			
Project Location Description (e.g.,	Folchi Meadows area above Knuthson Meadow in the Carman			
along the south bank of stream/river	Creek Watershed. Approximately 2 miles north east from			
between river miles or miles from	Calpine.			

Towns/intersection and/or address):	
Latitude:	
Longitude:	

#### III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Will the		<b>Quantification</b> (e.g. acres of
		streams/wetlands
	Brief explanation of project	restored or
		enhanced)
•	<u> </u>	1-3 miles of stream
		reactivated.
□ N/A	•	Approx 80-100
,,,,	, 0	acres of
		meadow/wetland
⊠ Yes	The project will re-water 80-	80-100 acres of
	100 acres of meadow/wetland	wetter meadow
□ N/A	and should create a better	area resistant to
	break in continuous fuels.	fire spread
⊠ Yes	Collaborating/communicating	
	with local RCD and county	
□ N/A		
☐ Yes	•	
⊠ N/A	for the project area.	
□Voc		
⊔ res		
⊠ N/A		
△ IV/A		
□ Yes		
⊠ N/A		
	project address the objective?  Yes  N/A  Yes  N/A	project address the objective?  ☐ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ The project will re-water 80- 100 acres of meadow/wetland and should create a better break in continuous fuels. ☐ N/A ☐ Syes ☐ Collaborating/communicating with local RCD and county officials about need and benefits of restoration work. ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Will improve environmental benefits to the region localized for the project area. ☐ Yes

		T	T .
	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Address economic challenges	☐ Yes		
of municipal service providers			
to serve customers.	⊠ N/A		
Protect, restore, and enhance	⊠ Yes	Project will restore meadow &	Approximately 80-
the quality of surface and		wetland areas by reconnecting	100 acres
groundwater resources for all	□ N/A	floodplains. This will reduce	200 0.0.00
beneficial uses, consistent with	L N/A	sediment movement from bed	
the RWQC Basin Plan.		and banks of channel, increase	
the Kwge Basiii i lan.		filtration of runoff, and increase	
		potential for groundwater	
		recharge	
Address water resources and	☐ Yes	recitatige	
wastewater needs of DACs and	163		
Native Americans.	⊠ N/A		
Coordinate management of	□ Yes		
recharge areas and protect	□ 162		
groundwater resources.	N N / A		
	⊠ N/A		
Improve coordination of land	☐ Yes		
use and water resources			
planning.	⊠ N/A		
Maximize agricultural,	☐ Yes		
environmental and municipal			
water use efficiency.	⊠ N/A		
Effectively address climate	⊠ Yes	Improving/restoring the health	Approximately 80-
change adaptation and/or		and extent of wet	100 acres
mitigation in water resources	□ N/A	meadow/wetland systems will	
management.		increase carbon intake and long	
		term storage.	
Improve efficiency and	☐ Yes		
reliability of water supply and			
other water-related	⊠ N/A		
infrastructure.			
Enhance public awareness and	☐ Yes		
understanding of water			
management issues and needs.	⊠ N/A		
Address economic challenges	☐ Yes		
of agricultural producers.			
	⊠ N/A		
Work with counties/	⊠ Yes	Plan on partnering as much as	
communities/groups to make		possible with the Sierra Valley	
sure staff capacity exists for	□ N/A	RCD. Will work to ensure group	
actual administration and	L IN/A	has staff capacity to implement	
actual autilitisti ation aliu		nas stan capacity to implement	

	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
implementation of grant		and administer grant funding.	
funding.			

	o objectives are addressed, describe how the ion:	project rela	ates to a challenge or opportunity for the
IV.	PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS ase provide a summary of the expected project	ct benefits	and impacts in the table below or check N/A
if no	ot applicable; <b>do no leave a blank cell.</b> Note t	that DWR e	ncourages multi-benefit projects.
If a	pplicable, describe benefits or impacts of the	project wi	th respect to:
a.	Native American Tribal Communities	⊠ N/A	
b.	Disadvantaged Communities <sup>1</sup>	□ N/A	
c.	Environmental Justice <sup>2</sup>	⊠ N/A	
d.	Drought Preparedness	□ N/A	Will help hold and release slowly the spring runoff. This will help minimize drought effects at the local site scale.
e.	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change <sup>3</sup>	□ N/A	Will hold more of the runoff that comes as rain instead of snow and will help capture and store carbon.
f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	⊠ N/A	
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	⊠ N/A	

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	☐ Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and		Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	⊠ N/A		distribution	$\boxtimes$	N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	⊠ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	up, treatment, management	□ N/A		management		N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	⊠ Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal		Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	□ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	$\boxtimes$	N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies		
	acquisition/protection/restoration			and conveyance of recycled		
	of open space and watershed lands			water for distribution to users		
d.	Non-point source pollution	⊠ Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of		Yes
	reduction, management and	□ N/A		multipurpose flood	$\boxtimes$	N/A
	monitoring			management programs		
e.	Groundwater recharge and	⊠ Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	management projects	□ N/A		restoration and protection		N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	⊠ Yes				
	reclamation, and improvement of	□ N/A				
	water quality					

#### V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (<a href="http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/">http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/</a>).

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable	
Reduce Water Demand			
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Urban water use efficiency	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Improve Flood Management			
Flood management	⊠ Yes □ No	This project will restore and protect the natural and beneficial functions of the associated floodplain.	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (<a href="http://featherriver.org/maps/">http://featherriver.org/maps/</a>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

	Will the Project	Fivivv-14. Folciii ivieadow Frojec
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Conveyance – regional/local	☐ Yes ☒ No	паррисаме
System reoperation	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Water transfers	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Municipal recycled water	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Surface storage – regional/local	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and		
distribution	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Groundwater remediation/aquifer		
remediation	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Matching water quality to water	DV N-	
use	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Pollution prevention	⊠ Yes □ No	Will reduce sediment movement from
	△ res ⊔ no	degraded stream/meadow/wetland
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ☒ No	
management		
Practice Resource Stewardship	T	
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Ecosystem restoration	⊠ Yes □ No	Restore wet meadow/wetland ecosystems
	2 163 2 110	and natural hydrologic function
Forest management		Meadow/wetland restoration, removal of
	⊠ Yes □ No	small conifers along meadow edge,
Landuna plannina and		managing grazing
Land use planning and	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
management Recharge area protection		Will restore meadow/wetland areas to slow
Nechaige area protection	⊠ Yes □ No	and spread runoff which is expected to
		increase groundwater recharge
Sediment management		Will reduce sediment generation form bed
3	⊠ Yes □ No	and banks by obliterating degraded/eroding
		channels.
Watershed management		Restore and enhance watershed functions.
	⊠ Yes □ No	Improve water retention for baseflow in
	⊾ IC3 □ INU	streams. Improve water quality and stream
		bank protection.
People and Water	I	
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Outreach and engagement	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Water and culture	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Water-dependent recreation	⊠ Yes □ No	Will increase potential for bird watching,

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
		wildlife viewing, waterfowl hunting
Wastewater/NPDES	⊠ Yes □ No	Will reduce non-point sources of sediment
Other RMS addressed and explanation	n:	

# VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

		PROJECT BUDGE	ΞT		
Pro	oject serves a need of a DAC?: $\Box$ Yes $\mathbb I$	⊠ No			
Fur	nding Match Waiver request?: $\Box$ Yes	⊠ No			
	Category	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration	45,000			45,000
b.	Land Purchase/Easement				
C.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental		15,000		15,000
d.	Construction/Implementation	230,000			230000
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement		50,000		50,000
f.	Construction Administration	15,000			15,000
g.	Other Costs				
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	10,000			10,000
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	300,000	65,000		365,000
j.	<b>Can the Project be phased?</b> ☐ Yes	⊠ No If <b>yes</b> , p	rovide cost breakdo	own by phases	
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Descriptio	n of Phase
	Phase 1				
	Phase 2				
	Phase 3 Phase 4				
le .		LICEC will monitor	s and maintain th	no project as	
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan financed for the 20-year planning periods.		USFS will monitor and maintain the project as needed		
	implementation (not grant funded).	ou for project	needed		
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp	□ Yes ⊠ No			

m.	Describe what impact there may be if the project is	If the project is not implemented there will be		
	not funded (300 words or less)	continued erosion of the bed and banks of the		
		stream, the runoff from the upper watershed		
		area will continue to be flashy and will drain		
		from the local area quickly, The railroad grade		
		impacts (concentration of water, erosion,		
		disconnected drainages will continue. The		
		floodplain will not be re-engaged with the		
		stream flow and so will not contribute to upland		
		early season water storage or increase potential		
		for aquifer replenishment.		
*List all sources of funding.				
Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table				
(ht	tp://featherriver.org/documents/).			

### VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project	Con	npleted?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and	Stage		Yes	Project Stage	Date (mm/yr)	Date (IIIII) yr)
Evaluation			No			
			N/A			
b. Final Design			Yes	Initial design	In progress	Spring 2016
Di Tillai Designi			No	completed, Some	in progress	<b>5</b> p8 <b>2</b> 010
			N/A	work to do to fully		
			IN/A	complete final		
				design.		
c. Environmental		$\boxtimes$	Yes	NEPA assessment		Spring 2016
Documentation			No	has been		
(CEQA / NEPA)			N/A	completed. Need to complete CEQA.		
d. Permitting			Yes	401 & 404 permits	Fall 2015	
	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No	will be needed.		
			N/A			
e. Construction			Yes		July 2016	
Contracting		$\boxtimes$	No			
			N/A			
f. Construction			Yes		August 2016	September
Implementation		$\boxtimes$	No			2016
			N/A			
Provide explanation	if more than	one	project			
stage is checked as c	urrent status					

#### IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See <a href="www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php">www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php</a> for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	USFS Tahoe NF Land and Resource				
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General	Management Plan				
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat	Sierra Valley RCD Watershed Action				
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	Plan				
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Carman Creek Watershed Restoration II				
	feasibility of this project.	Environmental Assessment				
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	An overall watershed assessment has				
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	been completed. Specific site				
	300 words or less.	parameters have been measured				
		through valley wide cross sections.				
		Extensive reconnaissance of the				
		hydrologic function and degradation				
		has been completed.				
		Recommendations for specific				
		treatments to correct watershed				
		degradation have been developed.				
		Environment assessment (NEPA) has				
		been completed which analyzed the				
		interaction of the project with wildlife,				
		cultural resources, botany, aquatic				
		resources, and range has been				
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g.	completed.				
u.	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID					
	techniques, etc.).	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A				
	teerinques, etc.j.	If yes, please describe.				
_	Are you on Hiskon Weter Connillant	□ V ▼ N □ N/A				
	Are you an Urban Water Supplier <sup>1</sup> ?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A				
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier <sup>2</sup> ?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A				
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A				
		If yes, please indicate which				
		groundwater basin.				
		Groundwater recharge will occur with				
		this project.				
1,,	rhan Water Cumplier is defined as a sumplier without within	Sierra Valley Basin				
	rban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly of					
municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than						
	3,000 acre-feet of water annually. <sup>2</sup> Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing					
	<sup>2</sup> Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.					

# Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: FMW-14: Folchi Meadow Project

Project applicant: <u>US Forest Service</u>

GHG Emissions Assessment
Project Construction Emissions  If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
<ul> <li>☑ The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete.</li> <li>☑ The project requires materials to be transported to the project site.</li> <li>☑ The project requires workers to commute to the project site.</li> <li>☑ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons.</li> <li>☑ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.</li> </ul>
Operating Emissions  If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
The project requires energy to operate.
The project will generate electricity.
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
∑ The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.

Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment
Water Supply  Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>☐ Reduced snowmelt</li> <li>☐ Unmet local water needs (drought)</li> <li>☐ Increased invasive species</li> </ul>
Water Demand Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>☑ Increasing seasonal water use variability</li> <li>☐ Unmet in-stream flow requirements</li> <li>☐ Climate-sensitive crops</li> <li>☑ Groundwater drought resiliency</li> <li>☐ Water curtailment effectiveness</li> </ul>
This is a meadow/floodplain restoration project. The project is anticipated to reconnect the incised stream to the floodplain. This will increase the seasonal (shallow) watertable elevations by increasing the spread of water on the floodplain allowing more water to infiltrate and will close the existing drain (gully) on the water table increasing the duration of the water infiltrated. This should increase the contribution of the area to the deeper groundwater aquifer.

Water Quality Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>Increasing catastrophic wildfires</li> <li>Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)</li> <li>Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution</li> </ul>
<ul><li>☐ Water treatment facility operations</li><li>☐ Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)</li></ul>
The restored meadow is expected to be wetter type vegetation and as such be more resistant to burning and the spread of wildfire.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>☐ Aging critical flood protection</li> <li>☐ Wildfires</li> <li>☐ Critical infrastructure in a floodplain</li> <li>☐ Insufficient flood control facilities</li> </ul>
The restored meadow will help attenuate local flood flows and help reducing flood magnitudes in Carman Creek.

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan  Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool
Ecosystem and Habitat
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and
habitat vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
This meadow/wetland restoration project is anticipated to restore wet and dry meadow habitat and wetland habitat. This project will help in resisting local changes due to climate change and will help connect fragmented wetland/meadow habitats.
The area is currently experiencing elevated levels of soil erosion and sedimentation to the streams. This project will reduce current levels of erosion and sedimentation from the treatment sites and become a better filter for sediment generated in the upper watershed.
Hydropower  Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:  Not applicable
Reduced hydropower output

## Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

### FMW-14: Folchi Meadow Project

## **GHG Emissions Analysis**

<b>Project Co</b>	nstruction	<b>Emissions</b>
-------------------	------------	------------------

	The project requires non-road				1	
~	llha nraiact radiurae nan-raad	or off-road angings	aduunment i	ar vahiclas ta <i>ci</i>	amploto It	MOC.
	ITTE DI DIECLI EUUILES HOHELDAU	i ui uii-iuau eiiziiies.	euulbillelit.	OL ACHILLE2 FO C	JIIIDIELE. II	VES.
,,						,

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Excavators	2	20	17
Rubber Tired Loaders	2	20	16
Off-Highway Trucks	3	10	37
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	71

The projec	t requires materials	to be transporte	d to the project site. I	f yes:
		Average Trip		
	Total Number of	Average Trip Distance		
	Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
			0	

The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
5	20	20	1	1

The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:				

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the
construction phase.

## FMW-14: Folchi Meadow Project **Project Operating Emissions** The project requires energy to operate. If yes: **Annual Energy Needed** Unit Total MTCO<sub>2</sub>e kWh (Electricity) Therm (Natural Gas) 0 The project will generate electricity. If yes: Annual kWh Generated Total MTCO₂e 0 \*A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes: Acres Protected from Wildfire Total MTCO<sub>2</sub>e 0 \*A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes: Acres of Protected Wetlands Total MTCO₂e 25 -108 \*A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will include new trees. If yes: Acres of Trees Planted Total MTCO2e \*A negative value indicates GHG reductions Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain: The project will restore hydrolgic function to approximately 50 acreas of seasonaly wet meadow and/or wetland. The restored areas will have more vigorous meadow/wetland vegetation which will begin to capture and store carbon in the roots and soils.

**GHG Emissions Summary** 

Construction and development will generate approximately:

In a given year, operation of the project will result in:

72 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e -108 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e