

### **UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM**

# **PROJECT INFORMATION FORM**

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

#### I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)				
Name of Primary Contact	Dan Z. Martynn				
Name of Secondary Contact	Joe Hoffman				
Mailing Address	PO Box 3562				
E-mail	Dan.martynn@ca.usda.gov				
Phone					
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Lake Almanor Watershed Group (LAWG)				
Organizations / Stakeholders	Feather River Roundtable Group/ Plumas NF				
Is your agency/organization	yes				
committed to the project through					
completion? If not, please explain					

#### II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	FMW-6: Watershed Monitoring Program			
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship			
	Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies			
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee			
	☐ Uplands/Forest			
Project Description				
(Briefly describe the project, in 300 words or less)	To expand and extend existing streamflow monitoring Program throughout the Feather River watershed to include Lake Almanor basin and provide central clearing house where monitoring data can be assessed and maintained. This is primarily an implementation project lasting 3-5 years, but could go longer.			
Project Location Description (e.g.,	Upper North Fork, East branch of the north fork and upper			
along the south bank of stream/river between river miles or miles from	Middle Fork of the Feather River Watershed.			

Towns/intersection and/or address):	
Latitude:	Regional
Longitude:	Regional

#### III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic functions.	☐ Yes	,	,
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	☐ Yes		
Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the Region.	■ Yes	Sharing of water Quality and Quantity data with stakeholders in watershed will allow local water users to make informed decisions and aid in collaboration on future projects	
Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and control of SWP facilities in the Upper Feather River Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region.	☐ Yes		
Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality.	■ Yes	Downstream water users may see value in investing in upper watershed improvements if monitoring data can show increases over time as result of management activities / restoration.	
Continue to actively engage in			

			Quantification
Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
FERC relicensing of	☐ Yes		
hydroelectric facilities in the			
Region.	□ N/A		
Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	☐ Yes		
	□ N/A		
Protect, restore, and enhance	Yes		
the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	□ N/A		
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and	Yes		
Native Americans.	□ N/A		
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect	☐ Yes		
groundwater resources.	□ N/A		
Improve coordination of land use and water resources	Yes	Monitoring data likely to support improved coordination between	
planning.	□ N/A	county, state and federal agencies in watershed.	
Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal	Yes		
water use efficiency.	□ N/A		
Effectively address climate change adaptation and/or	Yes		
mitigation in water resources management.	□ N/A		
Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and	Yes		
other water-related infrastructure.	□ N/A		
Enhance public awareness and understanding of water	Yes	Database/website will be available to public and could help	
management issues and needs.	□ N/A	inform them on water management issues & trends	
Address economic challenges of agricultural producers.	Yes		
	□ N/A		
Work with counties/ communities/groups to make	Yes		
sure staff capacity exists for actual administration and	□ N/A		

			Quantification
	Will the		(e.g. acres of
	project		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
implementation of grant			
funding.			

### IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the project with respect to:				
	Native American Tribal Communities	■ N/A		
b.	Disadvantaged Communities <sup>1</sup>	■ N/A		
c.	Environmental Justice <sup>2</sup>	■ N/A		
d.	Drought Preparedness	□ N/A	More complete and comprehensive streamflow information will help quantify water available downstream (Oroville Dam).	
e.	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change <sup>3</sup>		Data trends in collected monitoring data could help guide management decisions relating to climate change	
f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	■ N/A		
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	■ N/A		

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	Yes	g.	g. Drinking water treatment and	
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	□ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	☐ Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	■ N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	Yes
	reduction, management and	N/A		multipurpose flood management	□ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	□ N/A		restoration and protection	■ N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	☐ Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	■ N/A			
	water quality				

#### V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (<a href="http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/">http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/</a>).

	Will the Project incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,	
<b>Resource Management Strategy</b>	RMS?	if applicable	
Reduce Water Demand			
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No		
Urban water use efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No		
Improve Flood Management			
Flood management	Yes No	Educating the public on protection of	
	■ res □ No	functions of floodplains	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers			
Conveyance – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (<a href="http://featherriver.org/maps/">http://featherriver.org/maps/</a>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

	Will the Project		
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,	
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable	
System reoperation	Yes No		
Water transfers	Yes No		
Increase Water Supply			
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ■ No		
Precipitation Enhancement	Yes No		
Municipal recycled water	Yes No		
Surface storage – regional/local	Yes No		
Improve Water Quality			
Drinking water treatment and distribution	☐ Yes ■ No		
Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation	☐ Yes ■ No		
Matching water quality to water use	☐ Yes ■ No		
Pollution prevention	☐ Yes ■ No		
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No		
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No		
management	☐ res ■ NO		
Practice Resource Stewardship			
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ■ No		
Ecosystem restoration	☐ Yes ■ No		
Forest management	Yes No		
Land use planning and management	■ v. · □ N ·	Public lands management may be adjusted	
	Yes No	based on long term stream monitoring results	
Recharge area protection	☐ Yes ■ No		
Sediment management	Yes No	Sediment load will be monitored	
Watershed management		Monitoring data will assist in the process of	
	Yes No	creating and implementing watershed plans	
		related to streams and streamflow	
People and Water			
Economic incentives	Yes No		
Outreach and engagement		A database/website location for streamflow	
		monitoring provides an opportunity for public	
	Yes No	groups & individuals to contribute to positive	
		water management outcomes by being better	
		informed	
Water and culture	Yes No		
Water-dependent recreation	Yes No		
Wastewater/NPDES	☐ Yes ■ No		
Other RMS addressed and explanation	n:		

#### **VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING**

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

	PROJECT BUDGET						
Pro	Project serves a need of a DAC?: Yes No						
Fui	nding Match Waiver request?:   Yes						
		Requested Grant	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding	Cost Share: Other State Fund			
	Category	Amount	Match)	Source*	<b>Total Cost</b>		
a.	Direct Project Administration	40,000	4,000		\$44,000		
b.	Land Purchase/Easement						
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental						
d.	Construction/Implementation						
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement						
f.	Construction Administration						
g.	Other Costs						
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency						
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)				\$44,000		
j.	Can the Project be phased?   Yes	■ No If yes, p	rovide cost breakdo	own by phases			
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Description of Phase			
	Phase 1						
	Phase 2						
	Phase 3						
	Phase 4						
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan		N/A				
	financed for the 20-year planning peri implementation (not grant funded).	od for project					
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp	nleted?	☐ Yes ■ No				
					n hasalina		
m.	m. Describe what impact there may be if the project is not funded (300 words or less)		Opportunity to establish long term baseline conditions for water quality and quantity on representative streams in watershed will be lost.				
*Lis	t all sources of funding.						
	Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table						
( <u>ht</u>	(http://featherriver.org/documents/).						

#### VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and		Yes	. roject otage	TBD	TBD
Evaluation		■ No			
	_	□ N/A			
b. Final Design		☐ Yes			
		□ No			
		□ N/A			
c. Environmental		☐ Yes			
Documentation		□ No			
(CEQA / NEPA)		□ N/A			
d. Permitting		☐ Yes			
		□ No			
		□ N/A			
e. Construction		☐ Yes			
Contracting		□ No			
		□ N/A			
f. Construction		☐ Yes			
Implementation		□ No			
		□ N/A			
Provide explanation if more than one project					
stage is checked as c	urrent status	;			

#### IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See <a href="www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php">www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php</a> for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

	Partition desired also desired and a second allowers and			
a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed			
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General			
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat			
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).			
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the feasibility of this project.	a)	Climate Change & the Changing Water Balance for California's N	
			Fork of the Feather River	
		b)	<b>Effects of Meadow Restoration</b>	
			on Stream flow in the Feather	
			River Watershed	
		c)	Feather River CRM Group	
			Annual reports 2005-2014	
		d)	Lake Almanor Watershed Mgt	
			Plan	
		e)	Lake Almanor Watershed Monitoring Plan	
f)	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	10+ yea	ars of stream flow data has been	
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in		ed by FRCRM but variability in	
	300 words or less.		during that time has made it	
			identify trends. More data	
		needed	•	
		Propos	al can be combined with Lake	
		Almand	or Watershed Group proposal to	
		include	whole watershed.	
g)	Does the project implement green technology (e.g.			
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	 	□ N = ■ N / A	
	techniques, etc.).	·	□ No ■ N/A	
		ii yes, p	please describe.	
h)	Are you an Urban Water Supplier <sup>1</sup> ?	☐ Yes		
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier <sup>2</sup> ?	Yes	<b>—</b>	
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?		□ No □ N/A	
			please indicate which	
		_	water basin.	
		Upper	feather river watershed	
1	rhan Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly of	ı or nrivatı	ely owned providing water for	
	<sup>1</sup> Urban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than			
3,000 acre-feet of water annually.				
<sup>2</sup> Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing				
	ter to 10 000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage			

## Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with

Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions. Name of project: FMW-6: Watershed Monitoring Program Project applicant: Feather River Roundtable **GHG** Emissions Assessment **Project Construction Emissions** (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet) The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. The project requires workers to commute to the project site. The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase. **Operating Emissions** (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet) The project requires energy to operate. The project will generate electricity. The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. The project will affect wetland acreage. The project will include new trees. Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment
Water Supply Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>Reduced snowmelt</li> <li>Unmet local water needs (drought)</li> <li>Increased invasive species</li> </ul>
Monitoring of stream flow will help better manage the available water resources available in the watershed for both quantity and quality.
Water Demand  Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>Increasing seasonal water use variability</li> <li>Unmet in-stream flow requirements</li> <li>Climate-sensitive crops</li> <li>Groundwater drought resiliency</li> <li>Water curtailment effectiveness</li> </ul>
More accurate data on stream flow allows for better estimates of availability in sub-watersheds.

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
<ul><li>☐ Not applicable</li><li>☐ Increasing catastrophic wildfires</li></ul>
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
Water treatment facility operations
Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
Increased streamflow measurements and long term water quality monitoring within watershed will assist managers with allocating unmet beneficial uses.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:  Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:  Not applicable Aging critical flood protection
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:  Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:  Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain

Ecosystem and Habitat
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and
habitat vulnerability issues:
Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
☐ Erosion and sedimentation
☐ Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
Hydropower
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower
vulnerability issues:
Not applicable
Reduced hydropower output

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool

# Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

#### FMW-6: Watershed Monitoring Program

GHG	<b>Emissions</b>	Ana	lysis
-----	------------------	-----	-------

	<b>~</b>			•
Proiect	Constru	ıctıon	Emis	ssions

The	project red	quires non-road	l or off-road er	ngines, equip	ment, or vehicle	s to complete. If	ves
	p j			.0	,		,

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	0

The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. If yes:

		1 7
	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
10	100	2

The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
2	10	100		1

The project	t is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

# Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Op	erating Emissions	itersned Monitoring P	rogram
The project	t requires energy to operate. If yes:		
	Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO₂e
		kWh (Electricity)	0
		Therm (Natural Gas)	0
The project will generate electricity. If yes:			
	Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO <sub>2</sub> e	]
		0	
	*A negative value indicates GHG re	ductions	4
<u></u>			
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes:			
	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO₂e	
		0	
*A negative value indicates GHG reductions			
The section of the first control of the section of			
The project	t will affect wetland acreage. If yes:	I	1
	Acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO₂e	
		0	
*A negative value indicates GHG reductions			
The project will include new trees. If yes:			
	Acres of Trees Planted	Total MTCO₂e	
	0	0	
	*A negative value indicates GHG reductions		
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes,			
explain:			
GHG Emissions Summary			
Construction and development will generate approximately: 2			2 MTCO₂e
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			<sub>0</sub> MTCO <sub>2</sub> e