

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	Feather River Resource Conservation District (FRRCD)		
Name of Primary Contact	Nils Lunder		
Name of Secondary Contact	Brian Kingdon		
Mailing Address			
E-mail	Lunder.nils@gmail .com		
Phone	(530) 258-6936 cell		
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Natural Resource Conservation Service, Sierra Valley Resource		
Organizations / Stakeholders	Conservation District (SVRCD), Upper Feather River Watershed		
	Group, University of California Cooperative Extension,		
	California Cattlemen Association, Farm Bureau, United States		
	Forest Service, Plumas Audubon Society		
Is your agency/organization	Yes		
committed to the project through			
completion? If not, please explain			

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	ALS-2: Water Quality & Infrastructure Upgrades on Working		
	Lands		
Project Category	Agricultural Land Stewardship		
	☐ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies		
	☐ Municipal Services		
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee		
	☐ Uplands/Forest		
Project Description	The project will identify opportunities to improve water		
(Briefly describe the project,	quality, reduce erosion and sedimentation and increase		
in 300 words or less)	water use efficiency in the region. The FRRCD will work in		
	partnership with the SVRCD and other organizations in order		
	to connect with landowners in the project area to install		
	infrastructure to protect and enhance riparian areas, to		
	monitor and improve water quality and to better utilize		
	water supplies in the Upper Feather River watershed.		
Project Location Description (e.g.,	The project will occur on participating private lands in the		
along the south bank of stream/river	upper Feather River watersheds.		
between river miles or miles from			
Towns/intersection and/or address):			
Latitude:			
Longitude:			

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

	Will the project		Quantification (e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic	Yes	Project will reduce livestock	Approximately
functions.		impact on sensitive riparian areas,	3000 acres of
	□ N/A	will reduce sedimentation and will	streams/wetlands
		improve water quality for	restored or
		downstream users	enhanced
Reduce potential for	☐ Yes		
catastrophic wildland fires in			
the Region.	■ N/A		
Build communication and		Project will engage local land	Approximately
collaboration among water	Yes	owners and land managers and	3000 acres of
resources stakeholders in the		will improve communication and	streams/wetlands
Region.	□ N/A	collaboration among water	restored or
		resources stakeholders in the	enhanced
		region.	
Work with DWR to develop		Project proponents will work with	Approximately
strategies and actions for the	Yes	both DWR and landowners in the	500-1000 acres of
management, operation, and		region to assess potential	streams/wetlands
control of SWP facilities in the	□ N/A	modifications to water	restored or
Upper Feather River		management along SWP	enhanced
Watershed in order to increase		tributaries.	
water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the			
Region. Encourage municipal service			
providers to participate in	□ _{Yes}		
regional water management	<u> </u>		
actions that improve water	■ N/A		
supply and water quality.			
Continue to actively engage in			
FERC relicensing of	☐ Yes		
hydroelectric facilities in the			
Region.	■ N/A		
Address economic challenges	,		
of municipal service providers	☐ Yes		
to serve customers.			
	■ N/A		

	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
	•		·
Protect, restore, and enhance	Yes	Project will engage local land	Approximately
the quality of surface and	□ N/A	owners and land managers to	3000 acres of
groundwater resources for all	□ N/A	improve irrigation efficiency, and	streams/wetlands
beneficial uses, consistent with		establish off-site stock water	restored or
the RWQC Basin Plan.		facilities and riparian fencing, all	enhanced, 30,000
		of which may contribute to less	feet of pipe installed to
		particulate matter in streams.	
			improve water use efficiency
Address water resources and	☐ Yes		
wastewater needs of DACs and	_		
Native Americans.	■ N/A		
Coordinate management of	Yes	Project will engage local land	Approximately
recharge areas and protect		owners and land managers to	3000 acres of
groundwater resources.	□ N/A	implement improvements in	streams/wetlands
		infrastructure including irrigation	restored or
		efficiency, which may serve to	enhanced; 30,000
		reduce use of groundwater, and	feet of pipe
		riparian fencing, which may help	installed
		recharge.	
Lance to the second second second	— V	Bartan III a a a a la a la a la a la a la a	
Improve coordination of land	Yes	Project will engage local land	
use and water resources	□ N/A	owners and land managers and	
planning.	□ N/A	will improve communication and	
		collaboration among water	
		resources stakeholders in the	
Maximiza agricultural	Voc	region.	Approximately
Maximize agricultural,	Yes	Project will engage local	3000 acres of
environmental and municipal water use efficiency.	□ N/A	agricultural land owners and land managers to improve irrigation	streams/wetlands
water use efficiency.	IN/A	efficiency, off-stream stock water	restored or
		facilities and riparian fencing.	enhanced, 30,000
		racinues and riparian tending.	feet of pipe
			installed to
			improve water use
			efficiency
Effectively address climate	Yes	Project will engage local land	Approximately
change adaptation and/or	103	owners and land managers to	3000 acres of
mitigation in water resources	□ N/A	implement improvements in	streams/wetlands
management.	— · · / / \	infrastructure including irrigation	restored or
		efficiency and riparian area	enhanced, 30,000
		protection.	feet of pipe
			installed to
			improve water use
			improve water use

ALS-2: Water Quality & Infrastructure Upgrades on Working Lands

	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
			efficiency
Improve efficiency and	Yes	Improvements in infrastructure	Approximately
reliability of water supply and		including irrigation efficiency, off-	3000 acres of
other water-related	□ N/A	site stock water facilities and	streams/wetlands
infrastructure.		riparian fencing. Pipe will aid in	restored or
		irrigation supply reliability.	enhanced; 30,000
			feet of irrigation
			pipe installed
Enhance public awareness and	Yes	Public will be informed of the	Outreach materials
understanding of water		purpose of the project and why	will be developed;
management issues and	□ N/A	the project is a priority, outreach	landowners will be
needs.		will be performed by the FR RCD	engaged by local
		and the SV RCD	experts.
Address economic challenges	Yes	Will develop infrastructure that	Approximately
of agricultural producers.		will assist local livestock	3000 acres of
	□ N/A	producers to better manage their	streams/wetlands
		animals, their water systems and	restored or
		their rangelands. Funding will be	enhanced, 30,000
		available to local agricultural	feet of pipe
		producers to improve	installed to
		infrastructure including irrigation	improve water use
		efficiency, off-site stock water	efficiency
Moule with court:/	■ Vs -	facilities and riparian fencing.	
Work with counties/	Yes	Funding for this project will	
communities/groups to make	□ N/A	include the cost of project coordinators that will work with	
sure staff capacity exists for actual administration and	□ N/A	interested land owners and land	
implementation of grant			
funding.		managers	
Tullullig.			

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:

The proposed project will construct approximately 30,000 feet of livestock fence at sensitive riparian areas in the Upper Feather River watershed. The protection of those sensitive areas will also lead to an increase in riparian vegetation that will provide habitat to wildlife while also leading to increased bank stabilization and improved downstream water quality in the future. Additionally, the project will assist landowners with the installation of 30 solar powered off-stream/site water facilities that will provide livestock water, thus reducing the impact of livestock on sensitive riparian areas in the region. The project will also assist landowners to assess and develop water delivery infrastructure in an attempt to increase water use efficiency for both stock water as well as irrigation. Approximately 30,000 feet of irrigation pipe will be installed to assist with water delivery.

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If a	If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the project with respect to:			
a.	Native American Tribal			
	Communities	■ N/A		
b.	Disadvantaged Communities ¹			
		■ N/A		
c.	Environmental Justice ²			
		N/A		
d.	Drought Preparedness		The proposed project will increase	
		□ N/A	drought preparedness by facilitating	
			improvements in infrastructure including	
			irrigation efficiency, off-site stock water	
	Assist the verience adouting to		facilities and riparian fencing.	
e.	Assist the region in adapting to	□ N/A	The project will protect and enhance	
	effects of climate change ³	□ N/A	important riparian habitats in the region.	
			These habitats are increasingly important for sensitive plants and animals as the	
			·	
			region prepares for the effects of climate change in the future. It will also facilitate	
			improvements in infrastructure including	
			irrigation efficiency, off site stock water	
			facilities.	
f.	Generation or reduction of		The projects will assist with local	
'•	greenhouse gas emissions (e.g.	□ N/A	landowners and land managers as they	
	green technology)		work to assess how their management	
	green teennology,		techniques impact carbon sequestration	
			by protecting approximately 3000 acres of	
			streams/wetlands.	
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits		Project will be monitored in order to	
8.	that are not already mentioned	□ N/A	determine how the infrastructure	
	elsewhere		improvements impacts riparian health as	
			well as water quality, erosion and	
			sedimentation. These monitoring efforts	
			will be a collaborative effort with other	
			on-going projects run by local	
			organizations.	
-		1	-	

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/).

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	☐ Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	□ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	□ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	Yes
	reduction, management and	□ N/A		multipurpose flood management	□ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	Yes
	management projects	□ N/A		restoration and protection	□ N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	□ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

Resource Management Strategy Reduce Water Demand	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable	
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	■ Yes □ No	Project will facilitate the installation of infrastructure to increase water use efficiency by installing approximately 30,000 of water supply pipe, it will also provide a framework for the local RCDs to highlight efforts underway by land managers and land owners to increase water-use efficiency	
Urban water use efficiency	☐ Yes ■ No		
Improve Flood Management			
Flood management	■ Yes □ No	Project will help to enhance riparian areas and will assist in the attenuation of flood events and the filtration of sediments and nutrients from upstream land uses	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers			
Conveyance – regional/local	■ Yes □ No	The project will assist local landowners to ensure that their water use efficiency is	

Resource Management Strategy	Will the Project incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable improved by installing approximately 30,000
		of water supply pipe.
System reoperation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water transfers	☐ Yes ■ No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	■ Yes □ No	The project will assist local landowners to ensure that their water use efficiency, which involves a combination of surface and groundwater in many cases, is improved.
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	■ Yes □ No	Potential use of treated wastewater for irrigation.
Surface storage – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and distribution	☐ Yes ■ No	
Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Matching water quality to water use	Yes No	
Pollution prevention	■ Yes □ No	Project will assist efforts underway by land managers and land owners to improve operations to reduce water pollution.
Salt and salinity management	Yes No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No	
management	163 110	
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	■ Yes □ No	Project will complement efforts underway by land managers and land owners to modify their operations to improve agricultural land stewardship (improvements in infrastructure including irrigation efficiency, off-site stock water facilities and riparian fencing)
Ecosystem restoration	■ Yes □ No	Riparian fencing, off-site stock watering, planting of trees and other native plants in riparian areas.
Forest management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Land use planning and management	■ Yes □ No	Project will complement efforts underway by land managers and land owners to manage their lands (protection of open space, agriculturally zoned operations)
Recharge area protection	■ Yes □ No	Project will complement efforts underway by land managers and land owners to manage recharge areas to maximize groundwater recharge (riparian area fencing, off-stream stock watering)

	Will the Project	lity & Intrastructure Opgrades on Working Lands
Resource Management Strategy	incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
Sediment management	■ Yes □ No	Project will complement efforts underway by land managers and land owners to reduce sediment production (e.g., riparian fencing)
Watershed management	■ Yes □ No	Project will complement efforts underway by land managers and land owners to manage the watersheds (streams, tributaries) on their lands
People and Water		
Economic incentives	■ Yes □ No	Project will enhance and restore approximately 3000 acres of wetlands and riparian areas. This will increase available wildlife habitat and may lead to increased tourism in the region. Additionally, the proposed infrastructure may increase the economic viability of agriculture in the region for our local producers.
Outreach and engagement	■ Yes □ No	Project will increase the awareness of locals and visitors to the region on management efforts that are occurring in the area; the local RCDs will develop and educate the region regarding the efforts of the project and the project participants.
Water and culture	■ Yes □ No	Preserving historical ranching heritage in the region. Increased wildlife habitat, recreation opportunities (e.g., birdwatching).
Water-dependent recreation	■ Yes □ No	Potential for infrastructure development on working lands that support public recreation (e.g., birdwatching, canoeing).
Wastewater/NPDES	■ Yes □ No	Project will enhance and restore approximately 3000 acres of wetlands and riparian areas. This will increase available wildlife habitat and will improve water quality. Protected areas will act as bio-filters for sediment and nutrients that enter the project areas from upstream land management activities.
Other RMS addressed and explanation	on:	

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

	PROJECT BUDGET				
D	siest comment of a DAC2.	□ N-			
	oject serves a need of a DAC?: Yes nding Match Waiver request?: Yes	□ No ■ No			
- 4.	Tamb Matter Harver requester — Yes			T	T
			Cost Share: Non-State	Cost Share:	
		Requested	Fund Source*	Other State	
		Grant	(Funding	Fund	
	Category	Amount	Match)	Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration	\$142,500	•		
b.	Land Purchase/Easement				
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering	30,000			
	/ Environmental				
d.	Construction/Implementation	1,320,000			
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	30,000			
f.	Construction Administration				
g.	Other Costs	25,000			
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	20,000			
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$1,567,500			
j.	Can the Project be phased? Yes	□ No If yes , pr	ovide cost breakd	own by phases	
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Description	n of Phase
	Phase 1	\$25,000		Outreach to lan	downers,
				prioritization of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Phase 2	\$900,000		Installation of o	
	Phase 3	\$522,500		Installation of w infrastructure	ater delivery
	Phase 4	\$120,000		Installation of ri	inarian fence
	Thase 4	\$120,000		systems	parian refice
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan	ce costs will be	Contracts will be	developed between	een project
	financed for the 20-year planning peri		proponents and participating landowners		lowners
	implementation (not grant funded).		requiring landowners to take on the costs and		
			ssociated with or		
				aintenance of inf	
				e.g., fencing, pipe	, off-site
I.	Has a Cost/Reposit analysis has a serve	alatad2	watering).		
	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp		☐ Yes ■ No	المالية المالية المالية	ata
m.	Describe what impact there may be if not funded (300 words or less)	tne project is	• •	ot funded, the stenefit of this proje	•
	not fullucu (300 words of less)			ortunities for agri	
			will brovide oppo	or connices for agri	cuituiai

	producers to improve their operations. Due to declining surface water availability at this time, many local producers are having difficulty ensuring that their livestock have adequate water.
*List all sources of funding.	
Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for ass (http://featherriver.org/documents/).	stance in completing this table

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)	
a. Assessment and Evaluation		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Assess and repair of existing fencing system	08/2016	12/2016	
b. Final Design		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Mapping and budget development of phases 1-4	01/2017	06/2017	
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Analyze if any of the proposed project requires CEQA/NEPA compliance	01/2017	06/2017	
d. Permitting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Secure any permits necessary to complete phases 1-4	06/2017	12/2017	
e. Construction Contracting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	01/2018		12/2018	
f. Construction Implementation		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Contracts will be developed with professionals to install appropriate infrastructure for phases 2-4	04/2018	12/2019	
Provide explanation stage is checked as c		• •	The FR RCD and the SV RCD are conducing outreach with local landowners that would benefit from infrastructure improvements on their properties			

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	20X2020 Water Conservation Plan					
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General	California Water Plan Update 2013					
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat	East Branch North Fork Feather River					
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	Erosion Control Strategy					
		Feather River Resource Conservation					
		District Long-range Workplan 2005-					
		2009					
		Mountain Meadow Watershed					
		Restoration Action Plan					
		Upper Feather River Watershed					
		Integrated Regional Water					
		Management Plan					
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Adapt Flee or Perish. Water and climate					
	feasibility of this project.	change					
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	Evidence suggests that evaporative					
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	losses are reduced when water is					
	300 words or less.	moved through impermeable pipes					
		versus open, unlined ditches.					
d.		■ Yes □ No □ N/A					
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	The project will utilize solar energy to					
	techniques, etc.).	pump water for livestock use.					
	Are you an Urban Water Supplier¹?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A					
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A					
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	Yes No No N/A					
		If yes, please indicate which					
		groundwater basin.					
		Indian Valley, American Valley, Sierra					
		Valley					
1.	Juhan Watan Cumpling in defined as a supplier of the contribution	a politicata la compada de la citatica de la compada de la citatica del citatica del citatica de la citatica del citatica de la citatica del citatica de la citatica del citatica del citatica de la citatica del					
	Irban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly						
	unicipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,	ooo customers or supplying more than					
	000 acre-feet of water annually.	non publicly on privately accorded and differ					
	gricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, eith						
l Wa	water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.						

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: ALS-2: Water Quality & Infrastructure Upgrades on Working Lands

Project applicant: Feather River RCD and Sierra Valley RCD

GHG Emissions Assessment

Project Construction Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 ☑ The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. ☑ The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. ☑ The project requires workers to commute to the project site. ☐ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. ☐ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
The project requires energy to operate.
☐ The project will generate electricity.
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
☐ The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Reduced snowmelt
Unmet local water needs (drought)
Increased invasive species
The proposed project will increase watershed resiliency by protecting and enhancing shoreline
vegetation, increasing bank stability and improving water infiltration. The project will reduce the impact
of livestock on sensitive riparian areas by establishing solar powered off stream water sources and by
establishing infrastructure to better manage riparian areas.
Water Demand
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
☐ Increasing seasonal water use variability
Unmet in-stream flow requirements
Climate-sensitive crops
Groundwater drought resiliency
Water curtailment effectiveness
Increasing irrigation efficiency may increase water availability in streams.
Protecting and enhancing shoreline vegetation, increasing bank stability and improving water infiltration
will improve groundwater drought resiliency.
Water Quality
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
☐ Increasing catastrophic wildfires
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
Water treatment facility operations

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Climate Change- Project Assessment Checklist
Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
Improving downstream water availability translates to additional water in streams which will reduce concentration of nutrients/pollutants in streams and improve conditions for wildlife.
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
✓ Not applicable✓ Aging critical flood protection
☐ Wildfires
☐ Critical infrastructure in a floodplain☐ Insufficient flood control facilities
Ecosystem and Habitat Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened speciesFragmented habitat
Encouraging proactive management of riparian areas through improved infrastructure will enhance
opportunities for flora and fauna (providing refuge for species that rely on riparian zones) and will reduce erosion and sedimentation.
Hydropower Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following

Reduced hydropower output

high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:

Not applicable

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

ALS-2: Water Quality, Infrastructure Upgrades on Working Lands

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

X The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Bore/Drill Rigs	1	30	30
Trenchers	1	30	7
Tractors/Loaders/Bac			
khoes	1	30	8
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	44

The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. If	7-	terials to be transported to the project si	a If vac
		iterials to be transported to the project si	o. II yes.

		' '
	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
90	50	7

The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

J	Total Number	Average Round Trip Distance Traveled (Miles)	Total NATCO a	
2	90	50	Total MTCO₂e	3

Х	The project	t is expected	to generate	GHG	emissions	for c	other	reasons.	If yes,	explain:

Remaining work can be accomplished via standard highway vehicles, such as pick-up trucks.

	The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the
	construction phase.

	ALS-2: Water Quality, In	frastructure Upgrades	on Working Lands
Project Op	erating Emissions		
The project	t requires energy to operate. If yes:		
	Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO₂e
		kWh (Electricity)	0
		Therm (Natural Gas)	0
- ·			
X The project	t will generate electricity. If yes:	<u></u>	1
	Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO₂e	
	25,920		
	*A negative value indicates GHG rec	ductions	
The project	t will proactively manage forests to r	educe wildfire risk If v	196,
The project	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO ₂ e	/es.
	Acres Protected from Wildine		
	***************************************	0	
	*A negative value indicates GHG rec	JUCTIONS	
X The project	t will affect wetland acreage. If yes:		
. ,	Acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO ₂ e	
	3,000	-12,990	
	*A negative value indicates GHG rec	ductions	
X The project	t will include new trees. If yes:		
<u></u>	Acres of Trees Planted	Total MTCO₂e	
	200	-37,200	
	*A negative value indicates GHG rec	ductions	•
	erations are expected to generate or	reduce GHG emission	s for other reasons. If yes
explain:			
	The project will protect and enhar	· ·	-
	protecting these sensitive habitats		
	vegetative diversity and abundance	e, and this will assist in	the sequestration

of GHG

In a given year, operation of the project will result in:

Construction and development will generate approximately:

GHG Emissions Summary

54 MTCO₂e

-50,195 MTCO₂e