

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	Taylorsville Mill Race Group sponsored by Feather River
	Resource Conservation District
Name of Primary Contact	Brian Kingdon
Name of Secondary Contact	Holly Foster
Mailing Address	125 Slate Drive, Taylorsville, CA 95983; 2521 Williams Road, Oroville, CA 95965
E-mail	bskingdon@gmail.com; holly@robertfosterranch.com
Phone	(530)284-6504; (530) 570-0757
Other Cooperating Agencies / Organizations / Stakeholders	n/a
Is your agency/organization committed to the project through completion? If not, please explain	Yes

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	ALS-1: Taylorsville Mill Race Farmers Dam Resurfacing
Project Category	Agricultural Land Stewardship
	Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies
	Municipal Services
	Tribal Advisory Committee
	Uplands/Forest
Project Description	The Taylorsville Mill Race irrigation system can trace its
(Briefly describe the project,	beginnings to the founding of the community of Taylorsville
in 300 words or less)	by Jobe Taylor. The original main ditch was dug by Chinese
	labor in the 1850s and provided water to power a grist and a
	lumber mill operated by Jobe Taylor. Area farmers utilized
	the "tail water" from the mills to irrigate crops and
	pastureland. When the mills ceased operation, farmers and
	ranchers continued to utilize the ditch system and water
	rights. Now part of the Indian Creek Decree (No. 4185), the
	Taylorsville Mill Race represents the largest diversion right
	(No. 54) within the decree and its associated watermaster
	service area. There are eleven shares or water rights held by
	landowners that make up the non-profit Taylorsville Mill
	Race Group, irrigating approximately 3,000 acres.

Latitude:	
Project Location Description (e.g., along the south bank of stream/river between river miles or miles from Towns/intersection and/or address):	The Farmers' Dam is located east of the community of Taylorsville, on Indian Creek approximately ½ mile upstream of the bridge on County Road A22 (Arlington Road). (Maps and photos of the project area are available from Holly Foster.)
	In 1986, the group undertook the work of resurfacing the face of the dam to repair damage and ensure its continued viability. This project was completed by members of the group with significant amounts of in-kind labor and donated expertise and equipment, but still cost the participants \$34, 400 (Holly Foster interview with Charlie Neer, C. Neer Construction Co., May 30, 2015). The Mill Race Group has identified the need to resurface the dam again in the near future (within the next 10 years).
	Historically an earthen dam was built prior to the irrigation season that diverted water in Indian Creek for the Taylorsville Mill Race diversion; however, in the 1940s or 1950s (exact year not known), a more permanent cement dam was built that provided for a more reliable system and less disruption and damage to the stream flow and the adjacent banks of Indian Creek. This structure is maintained by the non-profit group of users who are organized under the name of the Taylorsville Mill Race Group.

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic functions.	☐ Yes ⊠ N/A	Due to the historical nature of the Mill Race Dam, its deterioration would be detrimental to the surrounding riparian area.	
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	⊠ Yes □ N/A	The Mill Race and its associated water supply serves as important component to wildland fire suppression for	There are approximately 2,000 residents in The Mill Race represents a

	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
	objective:	the Indian Valley area and its	dependable water
		residents.	source to aid the
		residents.	approximately
			2,000 residents of
			Indian Valley in the
			event of
			catastrophic
			wildfire, especially
			the community of
			Taylorsville.
Build communication and	🖾 Yes	The Mill Race Dam is an	In addition to
collaboration among water		important structural	supporting the
resources stakeholders in the	🗆 N/A	component within Indian	irrigation on
Region.		Valley, and specifically the	approximately nine
itegion.		community of Taylorsville.	family-owned
			livestock and hay
			operations in
			Indian Valley, the
			Taylorsville Mill
			Race represents an
			important
			historical structure
			within the valley.
Work with DWR to develop	□ Yes	While the Taylorsville Mill Race	There are eleven
strategies and actions for the		Dam is a private structure, it is	shares or water
management, operation, and	🖾 N/A	downstream from Antelope	rights held by
control of SWP facilities in the		Lake, a SWP dam constructed in	landowners that
Upper Feather River		1964.	make up the non-
Watershed in order to increase			profit Taylorsville
water supply, recreational, and			Mill Race Group,
environmental benefits to the			irrigating
Region.			approximately
			3,000 acres.
Encourage municipal service	🗆 Yes		
providers to participate in			
regional water management	🖾 N/A		
actions that improve water			
supply and water quality.	ļ		
Continue to actively engage in	🗆 Yes		
FERC relicensing of			
hydroelectric facilities in the	🖾 N/A		
Region.	<u> </u>		

			Quantification
	Will the project		Quantification (e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Address economic challenges	🗆 Yes		
of municipal service providers			
to serve customers.	🖾 N/A		
Protect, restore, and enhance	🖾 Yes	In addition to supporting the	There are eleven
the quality of surface and		irrigation on approximately	shares or water
groundwater resources for all	🗆 N/A	nine family-owned livestock	rights held by
beneficial uses, consistent with		and hay operations in Indian	landowners that
the RWQC Basin Plan.		Valley, the Taylorsville Mill Race	make up the non-
		represents an important	profit Taylorsville
		historical structure within the	Mill Race Group,
		valley. The associated ditch	irrigating
		system provides for a source of conjunctive water management	approximately 3,000 acres.
		for ranching and farming	5,000 acres.
		operations that utilize both	
		ground and surface water.	
		Additionally, the ditch system	
		provides important wildlife	
		habitat, as well as flood control	
		for the valley during periods of	
		excessive precipitation.	
Address water resources and	□ Yes		
wastewater needs of DACs and			
Native Americans.	🖾 N/A		
Coordinate management of	🖾 Yes	The Taylorsville Mill Race ditch	There are eleven
recharge areas and protect		system provides a source of	shares or water
groundwater resources.	🗆 N/A	conjunctive water management	rights held by
		for ranching and farming	landowners that
		operations that utilize both	make up the non-
		ground and surface water. The	profit Taylorsville
		seasonal irrigation is also a	Mill Race Group,
		component to regional aquifer	irrigating approximately
		recharge.	3,000 acres.
Improve coordination of land	🖾 Yes	Ensuring the long-term viability	There are eleven
use and water resources		of the Taylorsville Mill Race	shares or water
planning.	🗆 N/A	Dam is an important	rights held by
P		component to the management	landowners that
		of adjacent agricultural lands,	make up the non-
		and unincorporated residential	profit Taylorsville
		areas.	Mill Race Group,
			irrigating

			Overtification
	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
			approximately
			3,000 acres.
Maximize agricultural <u>,</u>	🛛 Yes	The Taylorsville Mill Race Dam	There are eleven
environmental and municipal		represents a major irrigation	shares or water
water use efficiency.	🗆 N/A	diversion structure for the	rights held by
		Indian Valley region, and is	landowners that
		critical to the irrigation of	make up the non-
		approximately 3,000 acres.	profit Taylorsville
		Ensuring its long-term viability	Mill Race Group,
		through this resurfacing project will be critical to efficient water	irrigating approximately
			3,000 acres.
		usage associated with this	3,000 acres.
Effectively address climate	🛛 Yes	water right. The Mill Race Dam represents	There are eleven
change adaptation and/or		an important structure for	shares or water
mitigation in water resources	🗆 N/A	water storage and control in	rights held by
management.		the Indian Valley region, and its	landowners that
inanagement.		management in consultation	make up the non-
		with the area watermaster can	profit Taylorsville
		help mitigate water shortages	Mill Race Group,
		due to perceived climate	irrigating
		change and/or drought. The	approximately
		historical nature of the	3,000 acres.
		structure means that it is now	
		an important component of the	
		riparian corridor that is	
		adjacent	
Improve efficiency and	🖾 Yes	The Taylorsville Mill Race Dam	There are eleven
reliability of water supply and		represents a major irrigation	shares or water
other water-related	🗆 N/A	diversion structure for the	rights held by
infrastructure.		Indian Valley region, and is	landowners that
		critical to the irrigation of	make up the non-
		approximately 3,000 acres.	profit Taylorsville
		Ensuring its long-term viability	Mill Race Group,
		through this resurfacing project	irrigating
		will be critical to efficient water	approximately
		usage associated with this	3,000 acres.
		water right.	·
Enhance public awareness and	🖾 Yes	In addition to supporting the	There are eleven
understanding of water		irrigation on approximately	shares or water
management issues and needs.	🗆 N/A	nine family-owned livestock	rights held by
		and hay operations in Indian	landowners that
		Valley, the Taylorsville Mill Race	make up the non-
		represents an important	profit Taylorsville

	Will the		Quantification
	project		(e.g. acres of
	address		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
		historical structure within the	Mill Race Group,
		valley. The associated ditch	irrigating
		system provides for a source of	approximately
		conjunctive water management	3,000 acres.
		for ranching and farming	
		operations that utilize both	
		ground and surface water.	
		Additionally, the ditch system	
		provides important wildlife	
		habitat, as well as flood control	
		for the valley during periods of	
		excessive precipitation.	
Address economic challenges	🖾 Yes	The Taylorsville Mill Race Dam	There are eleven
of agricultural producers.		represents a major irrigation	shares or water
	🗆 N/A	diversion structure for the	rights held by
		Indian Valley region, and is	landowners that
		critical to the irrigation of	make up the non-
		approximately 3,000 acres.	profit Taylorsville
		Ensuring its long-term viability	Mill Race Group,
		through this resurfacing project	irrigating
		will be critical to the economic	approximately
		survival of approximately nine	3,000 acres.
		family-owned livestock and hay	
		operations.	
Work with counties/	🖾 Yes	The Taylorsville Mill Race Group	There are eleven
communities/groups to make		is an unincorporated, non-	shares or water
sure staff capacity exists for	🗆 N/A	profit organization of water	rights held by
actual administration and		uses; however, with no paid	landowners that
implementation of grant		staff, it will be important that	make up the non-
funding.		capacity is developed to	profit Taylorsville
		facilitate the management of	Mill Race Group,
		this project.	irrigating
			approximately
			3,000 acres.

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the project with respect to:			
a. Native American Tribal Communities		Much of the UFRW is populated by DACs	
	□ N/A	and Native Americans. Ensuring the	
		long-term viability of the Taylorsville Mill	
		Race Dam is important to the	
		community economically, and has	
		benefits to the community at large.	
		(Note: The project, as described, does	
		not meet the letter of the guidelines	
		around Tribal project involvement.	
		However, the Maidu Summit	
		Consortium has expressed an interest in	
		advisory involvement in the project via	
		the Greenville Rancheria or Cunningham	
		Family.)	
b. Disadvantaged Communities ¹		Much of the UFRW is populated by DACs	
C C	⊠ N/A	and Native Americans. Ensuring the	
	,	long-term viability of the Taylorsville Mill	
		Race Dam is important to the	
		community economically, and has	
		benefits to the community at large.	
		(Note: N/A is checked because the	
		project does not meet the letter of the	
		guidelines around critical water needs of	
		a DAC.)	
c. Environmental Justice ²		Assistance provided through this project	
	🖾 N/A	would be for the specific purpose of	
		resurfacing the Taylorsville Mill Race	
		Dam, and for engaging qualified	
		individuals or firms for the engineering,	
		permitting and construction	
		components.	
d. Drought Preparedness		As a significant structure in an already	
	🗆 N/A	existing water management system, the	
		long-term viability of the Mill Race Dam	
		is important for ongoing drought	
		planning for ag operations within Indian	
		Valley.	
e. Assist the region in adapting to effects of		As a significant structure in an already	
climate change ³	🗆 N/A	existing water management system, the	
		long-term viability of the Mill Race Dam	
		is important for responding to perceived	
		changes in water supply due to climate	
		change.	

f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	□ N/A	The working landscapes supported by the Taylorsville Mill Race Dam provide significant capacity for carbon
			sequestration.
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that		Ensuring the long-term viability of the ag
	are not already mentioned elsewhere	🗆 N/A	operations that depend on the
			Taylorsville Mill Race Dam has multiple
			public benefits, including improving the
			largest economic driver in the region.

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (<u>http://featherriver.org/maps/</u>).

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	🛛 Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	🗆 Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	🗆 N/A		distribution	🖾 N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	🛛 Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	🛛 Yes
	up, treatment, management	🗆 N/A		management	🗆 N/A
с.	Removal of invasive non-native	🛛 Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	🗆 Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	🗆 N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	🖾 N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			and conveyance of recycled	
	of open space and watershed lands			water for distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	🗆 Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	🛛 Yes
	reduction, management and	🖾 N/A		multipurpose flood	🗆 N/A
	monitoring			management programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	🛛 Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	🛛 Yes
	management projects	🗆 N/A		restoration and protection	🗆 N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	🛛 Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	🗆 N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (<u>http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/)</u>.

	Will the Project incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,			
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable			
Reduce Water Demand					
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	Any enhancements made to the already existing dam structure will improve long-term agricultural water use efficiency.			
Urban water use efficiency	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Improve Flood Management					
Flood management	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	The Mill Race ditch system is an important component of flood control within Indian Valley.			
Improve Operational Efficiency and T	ransfers				
Conveyance – regional/local	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	The Mill Race ditch system is an important water conveyance system within Indian Valley.			
System reoperation	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Ensure long-term viability of the Taylorsville Mill Race.			
Water transfers	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Increase Water Supply					
Conjunctive management	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	The Mill Race water diversion system is part of a conjunctive management protocol for most of the shareholders who also utilize groundwater to supplement surface water allocations.			
Precipitation Enhancement	🗆 Yes 🗵 No				
Municipal recycled water	🗆 Yes 🗵 No				
Surface storage – regional/local	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	The Mill Race Dam represents an important structure to provide for timely flows within the valley-wide irrigation system.			
Improve Water Quality					
Drinking water treatment and distribution	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation	🗆 Yes 🖾 No				
Matching water quality to water use	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Pollution prevention	🗆 Yes 🖾 No				
Salt and salinity management	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Urban storm water runoff management	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	The Taylorsville Mill Race ditch system represents an important component of flood control within Indian Valley and for the unincorporated community of Taylorsville.			
Practice Resource Stewardship					
Agricultural land stewardship	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	There are approximately nine family-owned livestock and hay operations that depend on surface water diverted through the Taylorsville Mill Race ditch system. These			

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
		members irrigate approximately 3,000 acres
		with the Mill Race diversion, and are
		responsible for managing adjacent non-
		irrigated lands that represent significant
		agricultural landscapes in the valley.
Ecosystem restoration		The Mill Race and adjacent properties
		represent a significant amount of habitat
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	that is held privately. Supporting the
		ongoing viability of this structure enhances
		those habitats.
Forest management	🗆 Yes 🗵 No	
Land use planning and		The Mill Race is an important component to
management		the hydrology and topography in Indian
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	Valley, thus its ongoing viability should be
		connected to land use planning.
Recharge area protection		The surface irrigation on the approximately
		3,000 acres served by the Mill Race system
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	represents a significant aquifer recharge
		area.
Sediment management		Ensuring the long-term viability of the dam
		structure will prevent potential sediment
	🖾 Yes 🗌 No	issues that might arise if the dam structure
		were to deteriorate.
Watershed management		Resurfacing the dam will help prevent a
_		catastrophic erosion event, and therefore
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	potential downstream bank erosion and
		sedimentation
People and Water		
Economic incentives		Ensuring the long-term viability of the
		Taylorsville Mill Race Dam is important to
		the economic survival of approximately nine
	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	family-owned ag operations within Indian
		Valley, which also provide important
		economic support to the community at
		large.
Outreach and engagement		The Taylorsville Mill Race represents an
		important historical structure within the
		valley. As the work is initiated, project
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	managers will be communicating with
		residents of the area about the critical need
		to maintain the dam structure and its
		importance to the area at large.
Water and culture		The Taylorsville Mill Race represents an
	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	important historical structure within the
		valley.
Water-dependent recreation	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	

De como Maria como de Chardenario	Will the Project incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Wastewater/NPDES	🗆 Yes 🖂 No	

Other RMS addressed and explanation:

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

		PROJECT BUDGET			
	oject serves a need of a DAC?:				
Fur	nding Match Waiver request?: 🏾 Yes 🛛	× NO			
	Category	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
а.	Direct Project Administration	\$15,000 (est)	(1 411411.8	Source	\$15,000
b.	Land Purchase/Easement				
с.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental	\$35,000 (est)			\$35,000
d.	Construction/Implementation	\$100,000 (est)			\$100,000 (est)
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement				
f.	Construction Administration				
g.	Other Costs	TBD			
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency				
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$150,000			\$150,000
j.	Can the Project be phased? 🛛 Yes	🗆 No 🛛 If yes , pr	ovide cost brea	kdown by phas	es
		Project Cost	O&M Cost		on of Phase
	Phase 1	\$35,000		-	Design/Permit
	Phase 2	\$100,000		Year 2: Const	
	Phase 3	\$15,000		Ongoing: Adn Monitoring	ninistration and
	Phase 4				

1.	Evaluation in a second state of the second sta	Dout of this no confection was instructed in the
k.	Explain how operation and maintenance costs will be	Part of this resurfacing project will include
	financed for the 20-year planning period for project	planning for the long-term viability of the
	implementation (not grant funded).	structure beyond the life of the restoration,
		and will potentially incorporate the
		development of endowment funds to aid in
		the long-term maintenance of the structure.
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been completed?	🗆 Yes 🖾 No
m.	Describe what impact there may be if the project is	There are approximately nine family-owned
	not funded (300 words or less)	livestock and hay operations that depend on
		surface water diverted through the
		Taylorsville Mill Race ditch system. These
		members irrigate approximately 3,000 acres
		with the Mill Race diversion, and are
		responsible for managing adjacent non-
		irrigated lands that represent significant
		agricultural landscapes in the valley. If this
		restoration project is not funded, it
		represents a significant economic burden on
		these operations, as well as the community
		as a whole due to their contributions to the
		local economy and the environment.
	t all sources of funding.	
	te: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assist	ance in completing this table
(ht	tp://featherriver.org/documents/).	

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

	Check the Current Project		Description of Activities in Each	Planned/ Actual Start	Planned/ Actual Completion
Project Stage	Stage	Completed?	Project Stage	Date (mm/yr)	Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation		☐ Yes⊠ No☐ N/A	Project planning		
b. Final Design		☐ Yes⊠ No☐ N/A	Finalize project design		
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		☐ Yes⊠ No☐ N/A			
d. Permitting		☐ Yes⊠ No☐ N/A	Secure permits		

e. Construction			Yes	Secure contractor,	
Contracting			No N/A	materials	
f. Construction			Yes	Resurface dam;	
Implementation		\square	No N/A	Ongoing maintainance	
Provide explanation if more than one project stage is checked as current status					

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See <u>www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php</u> for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	Indian Creek Decree
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General	Others TBD
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat	
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Plumas County Ag Commissioner's
	feasibility of this project.	Report
		Watermaster Report
		Others TBD
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	A feasibility study will be a component
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project	of the initial development stage;
	in 300 words or less.	however, significant work has already
		been conducted to address the
		economic contribution of family-
		owned ranches to local rural
		economies and habitat conservation.
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g.	🖾 Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID techniques, etc.).	If yes, please describe.
		TBD – will depend on contractor and
		materials available (possibly recycled).
		Possible use of solar pump during
		resurfacing.
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	🗆 Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	🗆 Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	🗆 Yes 🖾 No 🗆 N/A
		If yes, please indicate which
		groundwater basin.
¹ U	Irban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly	y or privately owned, providing water for
	unicipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than	
	000 acre-feet of water annually.	
2	-	

² Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: <u>____ALS-1: Taylorsville Mill Race Farmers Dam Resurfacing</u>____

Project applicant: <u>Taylorsville Mill Race Group/FRRCD</u>

GHG Emissions Assessment

Project Construction Emissions

(If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)

The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete.

 \boxtimes The project requires materials to be transported to the project site.

 \square The project requires workers to commute to the project site.

The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons.

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

Operating Emissions

(If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)

The project requires energy to operate.

- The project will generate electricity.
- The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
- The project will affect wetland acreage.
- The project will include new trees.
- Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:

Not applicable
Reduced snowmelt

Unmet local water needs (drought)

Increased invasive species

Update to existing infrastructure that supports local irrigation and water supplies.

Water Demand

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:

Not applicable

Increasing seasonal water use variability

Unmet in-stream flow requirements

Climate-sensitive crops

Groundwater drought resiliency

Water curtailment effectiveness

Provides for ongoing management of existing irrigation diversion; will increase efficiency and management capabilities.

Water Quality

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water quality vulnerability issues:

Not	an	nli	cal	hl	۵
ΙΝΟί	ap	μı	La	υı	e

Increasing catastrophic wildfires

Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)

Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution

Water treatment facility operations

Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)

Existing water diversion structure and storage that makes water available during peak fire season.

Flooding

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:

Not applicable

Aging critical flood protection

Wildfires

Critical infrastructure in a floodplain

Insufficient flood control facilities

Ecosystem and Habitat

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:

Not applicable

Climate-sensitive fauna or flora

Recreation and economic activity

Quantified environmental flow requirements

Erosion and sedimentation

Endangered or threatened species

Fragmented habitat

Dam is a significant part of irrigation system for cattle operations in Indian Valley, providing an economic base for the community at large.

Hydropower

Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:

Not applicable

Reduced hydropower output

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

ALS-1: Taylorsville Mill Race Farmers Dam Resurfacing

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

X The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO ₂ e
Tractors/Loaders/Bac			
khoes	2	5	3
Dumpers/Tenders	2	5	0
Cement and Mortar			
Mixers	2	5	0
Skid Steer Loaders	2	5	1
Other Construction			
Equipment	1	5	0
			0
			0
			0
			0
			0
	-	Total Emissions	4

X The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. If yes:

	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO ₂ e
30	50	2

The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Average Number of	Total Number	Distance Traveled		
Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO ₂ e	
5	2	200		1

The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

ALS-1: Taylorsville Mill Race Farmers Dam Resurfacing

Project Operating Emissions

The project requires energy to operate. If yes:

Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO ₂ e
	kWh (Electricity)	0
	Therm (Natural Gas)	0

The project will generate electricity. If yes:

Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO ₂ e
	0

*A negative value indicates GHG reductions

The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes:

Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO ₂ e
	0

*A negative value indicates GHG reductions

The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes:

otal MTCO ₂ e	Tot	Acres of Protected Wetlands						
-12,990		3,00						

*A negative value indicates GHG reductions

The project will include new trees. If yes:

· · · · ·	
Acres of Trees Planted	Total MTCO ₂ e
0	0

*A negative value indicates GHG reductions

Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:

GHG Emissions Summary

Construction and development will generate approximately:	7 MTCO ₂ e
In a given year, operation of the project will result in:	-12,990 MTCO ₂ e