

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	City of Portola
Name of Primary Contact	Robert Meacher
Name of Secondary Contact	Karen Downs
Mailing Address	P.O.Box1225 96122
E-mail	r.meacher@ci.portola.ca.us
Phone	530-832-4216
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Plumas County & State of California
Organizations / Stakeholders	
Is your agency/organization	Yes
committed to the project through	
completion? If not, please explain	

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-1: Wastewater System Infrastructure Improvements
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship
	☐ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies
	Municipal Services
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee
	☐ Uplands/Forest
Project Description	This proposed solution to correct the increased
(Briefly describe the project,	inflow/infiltration (I&I) to the City system is a discrete plan to
in 300 words or less)	reconstruct aged, failing and failed sewer lines throughout the
	City as determined by existing video logs of the system. The
	attached mMaps on file at City Hall show where the
	reconstruction work is being proposed. Also attached, are
	documents with information on on file are types of
	reconstruction options, including open trench, fold and form
	linings, and point repairs with individual cost estimates.
Project Location Description (e.g.,	City of Portola Census Tract 33.07 Block group 3012
along the south bank of stream/river	
between river miles or miles from	
Towns/intersection and/or address):	
Latitude:	120.4697 W
Longitude:	39.8103 N

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: Restore natural hydrologic	Will the project address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced) N/A
functions.	■ N/A		
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		N/A
Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the Region.	■ Yes	By the very nature of the project this objective is met.	N/A
Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and control of SWP facilities in the Upper Feather River Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region.	■ Yes	Project area receives water from DWR facility at Lake Davis.	Treated Lake Davis Water is being leaked into the aquifer from aged sewer infrastructure. Stopping the leaks would increase water supply
Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality.	■ Yes	Reconstructing aged, failing and failed sewer lines throughout the City will prevent leakage of raw sewage and improve water quality in the area.	N/A
Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		N/A
Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	■ Yes	As a Severely Disadvantaged Community, Portola is dependent on grant funds to complete this project. The project will prevent leakage of raw sewage and contribute to better sanitation and water quality.	N/A

	Will the project		Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives:	address the objective?	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective	restored or enhanced)
		,	
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and	Yes	The project will stop runoff and leakage into the Feather River	
groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	□ N/A	and into groundwater.	
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and	■ Yes	This project will reconstruct leaking/failing sewer lines to	Stop the leakage without raising
Native Americans.	□ N/A	address the wastewater needs of Portola (SDAC).	rates
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect	Yes	Reduces leakage of untreated wastewater into groundwater	Stopping the effluent leakage
groundwater resources.	□ N/A	basin	will protect groundwater.
Improve coordination of land use and water resources	Yes		
planning.	■ N/A		
Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal	Yes		
water use efficiency.	■ N/A		N1/A
Effectively address climate change adaptation and/or	Yes		N/A
mitigation in water resources management.	■ N/A		
Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and	Yes	Improves system capacity and reliability, and protects water	Stops water waste by fixing leaks.
other water-related infrastructure.	□ N/A	supply from contamination.	by fixing leaks.
Enhance public awareness and understanding of water	Yes	Citizens understand that leaking sewage affects the local	Public education and outreach.
management issues and needs.	□ N/A	economy.	
Address economic challenges of agricultural producers.	☐ Yes		N/A
	■ N/A		
Work with counties/	Yes	The City of Portola will continue	N/A
communities/groups to make		to work with the County to	
sure staff capacity exists for	□ N/A	ensure the successful	
actual administration and implementation of grant		implementation of this project.	
funding.			

	o objectives are addressed, describe how the pion:	oroject relat	tes to a challenge or opportunity for the
IV.	PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS ase provide a summary of the expected project	t henefits a	nd impacts in the table below or check N/A
	ot applicable; do no leave a blank cell. Note th		
If a	oplicable, describe benefits or impacts of the	project wit	h respect to:
a.	Native American Tribal Communities	■ N/A	///
b.	Disadvantaged Communities ¹	□ N/A	Saves ratepayers' valuable dollars in fees that would be required to complete this project without grant funding. Portola is a Severely Disadvantaged Community as per footnote 1 below
c.	Environmental Justice ²	□ N/A	This project improves sanitation for all people in Portola, regardless of race, culture or income.
d.	Drought Preparedness	■ N/A	///
e.	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change ³	■ N/A	///
f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	□ N/A	Less energy used at treatment plant
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	□ N/A	Makes repairs less likely therefore saving the DAC \$\$\$ and making the City a more attractive place to live.
inco UFR ² En resp regu (e.g	Disadvantaged Community is defined as a composed that is less than 80 percent of the Statewick website (http://featherriver.org/maps/). vironmental Justice is defined as the fair treat pect to the development, adoption, implement ulations and policies. An example of environme, water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area mate change effects are likely to include increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects such as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects as increased wildfire risk, example of the condary effects as the condary eff	ment of per tation and e ental justice of racial m ased floodi	MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the ople of all races, cultures, and incomes with enforcement of environmental laws, be benefit would be to improve conditions inorities. Ing, extended drought, and associated

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water use efficiency	☐ Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	☐ Yes
		■ N/A		distribution	■ N/A
b.	Storm water treatment,	Yes	h.	Watershed protection	Yes
	management	□ N/A			□ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	☐ Yes	i.	Contaminant removal through	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		other treatment technologies	■ N/A
	wetlands,				
	acquisition/protection/restoration				
	of open space and watershed lands				
d.	Non-point source pollution	Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	☐ Yes
	reduction, management and	□ N/A		multipurpose flood management	■ N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	☐ Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	■ N/A		restoration and protection	■ N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	☐ Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	■ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

Danasana Managarana Stantana	Will the Project incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Reduce Water Demand		
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	Yes No	
Urban water use efficiency	Yes No	
Improve Flood Management		
Flood management	Yes No	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Tr	ansfers	
Conveyance – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No	
System reoperation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water transfers	☐ Yes ■ No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	☐ Yes ■ No	
Surface storage – regional/local	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and	□ Yes ■ No	
distribution	☐ res ■ NO	
Groundwater remediation/aquifer	☐ Yes ■ No	
remediation	☐ res ■ NO	

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Matching water quality to water use	Yes No	
Pollution prevention	■ Yes □ No	Prevent leakage of untreated sewage into ground and the Feather River.
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban storm water runoff management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	☐ Yes ■ No	
Ecosystem restoration	☐ Yes ■ No	
Forest management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Land use planning and management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Recharge area protection	☐ Yes ■ No	
Sediment management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Watershed management	☐ Yes ■ No	
People and Water		
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ■ No	
Outreach and engagement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water and culture	☐ Yes ■ No	
Water-dependent recreation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Wastewater/NPDES	Yes No	Reconstruction of failing/failed sewer lines to prevent leakage of untreated sewage
Other RMS addressed and explanation	n:	
N/A		

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

		PROJECT BUDGE	:T		
	oject serves a need of a DAC?: Yes anding Match Waiver request?: Yes				
	Category	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration	6,000	0	0	6,000
b.	Land Purchase/Easement	29,500	0	0	29,500
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental	255,000	0	0	255,000
d.	Construction/Implementation	969,520	0	0	969,520
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	N/A	0	0	N/A
f.	Construction Administration	N/A	0	0	N/A
g.	Other Costs	35,000	0	0	35,000
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	129,502	0	0	129,502
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	1,424,522	0	0	1,424,522
j.	Can the Project be phased? Yes	■ No If yes, pr	ovide cost breakd	own by phases	
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Descriptio	n of Phase
	Phase 1	///	///	///	
	Phase 2	///	///	///	
	Phase 3 Phase 4			/// ///'	
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan	1	City sewer fees	111	
	financed for the 20-year planning peri implementation (not grant funded).		City sewer rees		
I.	Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been comp	oleted?	■ Yes □ No		
m. Describe what impact there may be if the project is not funded (300 words or less)		Leakage into gro leakage into syst Failure.			
	t all sources of funding.	alatha n Connector		a alata a ala Ur	
	Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table				
/h+	(http://featherriver.org/documents/).				

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

Project Stage	Check the Current Project Stage	Completed?	Description of Activities in Each Project Stage	Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr)	Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and Evaluation		Yes No N/A	Done	2015	2015
b. Final Design		■ Yes □ No □ N/A	Done	2015	2015
c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA)		■ Yes □ No □ N/A	Done	2015	2015
d. Permitting		Yes No N/A	Done	2015	2015
e. Construction Contracting		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Awaiting Funding	3-4 months after funding depending on time of the year	4-5 months after funding
f. Construction Implementation		☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	Awaiting Funding	4-5 months after funding	10-12 months after funding
Provide explanation stage is checked as c		• •	This project is shovel real	ady. All documents	are on file at City

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	Portola General Plan
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General	Portola Wastewater Master Plan
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat	
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).	
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Fites Engineering Study
	feasibility of this project.	Bastian Engineering Report
	•	CDBG Application supporting
		documents all on file at City Hall
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	Video logs of the system documented
	300 words or less.	the aged, failing and failed sewer lines
		and their locations throughout the City.
		Maps of the failing and failed sewer
		lines have also been generated.
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g.	☐ Yes ☐ No ■ N/A
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	If yes, please describe.
	techniques, etc.).	
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	■ Yes □ No □ N/A
		If yes, please indicate which
		groundwater basin.
		Feather River / Sierra Valley
	Irban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly o	
	unicipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,	000 customers or supplying more than
	000 acre-feet of water annually.	
² A	gricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, eith	ner publicly or privately owned, providing
	-	

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-1: Wastewater System Infrastructure Improvements

Project applicant: <u>City of Portola</u>

GHG Emissions Assessment
Project Construction Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
 □ The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. □ The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. □ The project requires workers to commute to the project site. □ The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. □ The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
The project requires energy to operate.
The project will generate electricity.
☐ The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
Not applicable ■ Not applicable Not applicable
Reduced snowmelt
Unmet local water needs (drought)
☐ Increased invasive species
Water Demand
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
Not applicable ■ Not applicable Not applicable
☐ Increasing seasonal water use variability
Unmet in-stream flow requirements
Climate-sensitive crops
Groundwater drought resiliency
Water curtailment effectiveness

Water Quality
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Increasing catastrophic wildfires
Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and
other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
☐ Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)
Reduces inflow to treatment plant. Saves energy, reduces GHG emissions, reduces threat of water
pollution.
Flooding
Flooding Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities Sewer treatment is located in a critical floodplain. Project will reduce the threat of releasing untreated
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities Sewer treatment is located in a critical floodplain. Project will reduce the threat of releasing untreated
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities Sewer treatment is located in a critical floodplain. Project will reduce the threat of releasing untreated
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities Sewer treatment is located in a critical floodplain. Project will reduce the threat of releasing untreated
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority flooding vulnerability issues: Not applicable Aging critical flood protection Wildfires Critical infrastructure in a floodplain Insufficient flood control facilities Sewer treatment is located in a critical floodplain. Project will reduce the threat of releasing untreated

Climate Change- Project Assessment Tool
Ecosystem and Habitat Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
□ Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
With a good sewer system the City of Portola will be more attractive for economic activities. With the reduced threat of discharges into the middle fork of the Feather River the fishery, and its endangered species, will be better protected for ecosystem and recreational benefits.
Hydropower Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority hydropower vulnerability issues: Not applicable □ Reduced by degree year output
Reduced hydropower output

Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-1: Wastewater System Infrastructure Improvements

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

X The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Pavers	1	20	6
Plate Compactors	1	60	1
Rollers	1	20	5
Signal Boards	2	120	15
Tractors/Loaders/Bac			
khoes	2	115	62
Excavators	1	20	9
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	97

X The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. If yes:

	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
6	100	1

The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

		Average Round Trip		
Ŭ		Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
5	120	20		4

The projec	The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:			

	The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the
	construction phase.

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-1: Wastewater System Infrastructure Improvements **Project Operating Emissions** The project requires energy to operate. If yes: **Annual Energy Needed** Total MTCO₂e Unit 0 kWh (Electricity) Therm (Natural Gas) The project will generate electricity. If yes: Annual kWh Generated Total MTCO₂e *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk. If yes: Acres Protected from Wildfire Total MTCO₂e 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will affect wetland acreage. If yes: Acres of Protected Wetlands Total MTCO₂e *A negative value indicates GHG reductions The project will include new trees. If yes: Acres of Trees Planted Total MTCO₂e 0 0 *A negative value indicates GHG reductions Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain: This project will reduce energy costs by reducing inflow to the treatment plant. **GHG Emissions Summary** Construction and development will generate approximately: 102 MTCO₂e

In a given year, operation of the project will result in:

0 MTCO₂e