

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please submit by 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 2015, to UFR.contact@gmail.com

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

Agency / Organization	East Quincy Services District			
Name of Primary Contact	Mike Green - General Manager			
Name of Secondary Contact	Vicki Poh – Administrative Assistant			
Mailing Address	179 Rogers Avenue			
E-mail	mike@eastquincycsd.com vicki@eastquincycsd.com			
Phone	530-283-2390			
Other Cooperating Agencies /	Bastian Engineering – Daniel Bastian			
Organizations / Stakeholders	bastianengineeringinc@gmail.com 530-832-2644			
Is your agency/organization	Yes			
committed to the project through				
completion? If not, please explain				

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	MS-4: Water Tank Project		
Project Category	☐ Agricultural Land Stewardship		
	☐ Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies		
	Municipal Services		
	Water Supply/Water Quality		
	Community Water/Wastewater		
	☐ Tribal Advisory Committee		
	☐ Uplands/Forest		
Project Description			
(Briefly describe the project,	Replace the existing EQSD 800,000 gallon concrete tank with a		
in 300 words or less)	steel tank of equal size. It is estimated that the project will		
	reduce groundwater pumping by over 1 million gallons for any		
	given year, to create a more reliable, drought-proof water		
	supply.		
Project Location Description (e.g.,	This was instituted and the south and floud, of the Associate		
along the south bank of stream/river	This project is located on the southern flank of the American		
between river miles or miles from	Valley Groundwater Basin (designated 5-10) and within the		
Towns/intersection and/or address):	disadvantaged community block group in the EQSD boundary,		
	located in Plumas County.		
	The EQSD owned parcel (shown in pink on Figure 2) that the		
	tank occupies is APN 116-280-020 and 1.13 Ac. In size. The		
	tank footprint is approximately 6,600 sq. ft.		

Latitude:	39.927422°
Longitude:	-120.891447°

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

Upper Feather River IRWM	Will the project address the	Brief explanation of project	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
Restore natural hydrologic functions.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region.	■ Yes	Improved water supply reliability allows water to be available to fight wildfires with a reduced impact on supplies needed to meet existing demands.	
Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		
Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and control of SWP facilities in the	☐ Yes		
Upper Feather River Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region.	— 1971		
Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality.	■ Yes	Increase water supply and quality by reducing leaks and possibility of contamination associated with tank leakage.	
Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the Region.	☐ Yes ■ N/A		

Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers.	Will the project address the objective? ■ Yes □ N/A	Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective This project is dependent on grant funding. A new water storage tank will reduce annual maintenance costs and costs associated with pumping well water. Increasing water supply reliability will help to ensure that demands associated with the regional economy – including manufacturing, tourism and agriculture – can be met.	Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced)
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan.	■ Yes	Replacement of leaking storage tank reduces the groundwater demand for the District. Reduced groundwater pumping by over 1 million gallons per year will protect groundwater resources for other beneficial uses.	
Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and Native Americans.	■ Yes	Improve storage and water quality to DAC.	
Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect groundwater resources.	Yes N/A	This project will reduce reliance on groundwater by over 1 million gallons per uear, thereby helping the Region meet drinking water demands that are threatened by drought restrictions. As a local, sustainable water supply, the groundwater saved by this project becomes available for future needs and is not vulnerable loss.	
Improve coordination of land use and water resources	☐ Yes		
planning. Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal water use efficiency.	■ N/A ■ Yes □ N/A	EQSD relies entirely on groundwater sources for its potable water. The American Valley also includes agricultural users that access the same	

			Quantification
	Will the		(e.g. acres of
	project		streams/wetlands
Upper Feather River IRWM	address the	Brief explanation of project	restored or
Objectives:	objective?	linkage to selected Objective	enhanced)
•	•	aquifer. Any reduction in	,
		groundwater supplies could	
		result in local water restrictions	
		to agricultural users. Local,	
		drought-proof measures such as	
		this tank project provide a local	
		water supply buffer that allows	
		the Region to minimize or avoid	
		water use restrictions to	
		agricultural users in times of	
		drought.	
Effectively address climate	Yes	This project improves water use	
change adaptation and/or		efficiencies and groundwater	
mitigation in water resources	□ N/A	storage as extended drought	
management.		poses limitations on water	
		resources.	
Improve efficiency and	Yes	Provide additional water storage	
reliability of water supply and		supply and repair aging	
other water-related	□ N/A	infrastructure to minimize water	
infrastructure.		loss from tank leakage.	
Enhance public awareness and	☐ Yes		
understanding of water			
management issues and needs.	■ N/A		
Address economic challenges of	☐ Yes		
agricultural producers.			
	■ N/A		
Work with counties/	Yes	EQSD is committed to the	
communities/groups to make		successful implementation of the	
sure staff capacity exists for	□ N/A	project, and is willing to work	
actual administration and		with any necessary	
implementation of grant		cooperators/stakeholders.	
funding.			

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:

The project is a multi-benefit project that addresses conservation, health, safety, welfare and drought impacts and is able to be implemented and provide benefits within an expedited timeline. Expedited funding is needed for this high-priority project because it provides additional local potable water supplies that are critical in times of drought.

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do no leave a blank cell.** Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

If a	oplicable, describe benefits or impacts of the	project wit	h respect to:
a.	Native American Tribal Communities	■ N/A	
b.	Disadvantaged Communities ¹	□ N/A	Additional water storage, protection of system stability and improved water quality that serves DAC.
c.	Environmental Justice ²	■ N/A	
d.	Drought Preparedness	□ N/A	Additional water storage and reduction of groundwater demand, reduction of water loss from aging tank leakage.
e.	Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change ³	□ N/A	Added water storage.
f.	Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology)	□ N/A	It is estimated that the project will reduce groundwater pumping by over 1 million gallons for any given year – reducing energy consumption for pumping.
g.	Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere	■ N/A	
Ι 1 Λ Ι	Disadvantaged Community is defined as a com	munity wit	h an annual modian household (MUI)

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR's DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (http://featherriver.org/maps/).

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

a.	Water supply reliability, water	Yes	g.	Drinking water treatment and	Yes
	conservation, water use efficiency	□ N/A		distribution	□ N/A
b.	Stormwater capture, storage, clean-	☐ Yes	h.	Watershed protection and	Yes
	up, treatment, management	■ N/A		management	□ N/A
c.	Removal of invasive non-native	☐ Yes	i.	Contaminant and salt removal	☐ Yes
	species, creation/enhancement of	■ N/A		through reclamation/desalting,	N/A
	wetlands,			other treatment technologies and	
	acquisition/protection/restoration			conveyance of recycled water for	
	of open space and watershed lands			distribution to users	
d.	Non-point source pollution	☐ Yes	j.	Planning and implementation of	☐ Yes
	reduction, management and	■ N/A		multipurpose flood management	N/A
	monitoring			programs	
e.	Groundwater recharge and	Yes	k.	Ecosystem and fisheries	☐ Yes
	management projects	□ N/A		restoration and protection	N/A
f.	Water banking, exchange,	Yes			
	reclamation, and improvement of	□ N/A			
	water quality				

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/).

	Will the Project	
	incorporate	Description of how RMS to be employed,
Resource Management Strategy	RMS?	if applicable
Reduce Water Demand		
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	■ Yes □ No	Water management – improving water delivery systems
Urban water use efficiency	Yes No	Improving water delivery infrastructure
Improve Flood Management		
Flood management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Improve Operational Efficiency and Tr	ansfers	
Conveyance – regional/local	Yes No	System stability and efficiency improvement
System reoperation	■ Yes □ No	Improvement of existing operations and water facilities to meet needs more efficiently and reliably
Water transfers	☐ Yes ■ No	
Increase Water Supply		
Conjunctive management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Precipitation Enhancement	☐ Yes ■ No	
Municipal recycled water	☐ Yes ■ No	

	Will the Project	
Resource Management Strategy	incorporate RMS?	Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable
Surface storage – regional/local		New additional water storage tank,
	Yes No	replacement of old leaking water storage tank
Improve Water Quality		
Drinking water treatment and		Replacing leaking tank will increase water
distribution	Yes No	quality by decreasing opportunity for
		infiltration.
Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Matching water quality to water use	☐ Yes ■ No	
Pollution prevention	Yes No	
Salt and salinity management	☐ Yes ■ No	
Urban storm water runoff	☐ Yes ■ No	
management		
Practice Resource Stewardship		
Agricultural land stewardship	Yes No	
Ecosystem restoration	Yes No	
Forest management	Yes No	
Land use planning and management	Yes No	
Recharge area protection	Yes No	
Sediment management	Yes No	
Watershed management	■ Yes □ No	Reduce current demand to groundwater sources by replacing leaking tank.
People and Water		
Economic incentives	☐ Yes ■ No	
Outreach and engagement	Yes No	
Water and culture	Yes No	
Water-dependent recreation	☐ Yes ■ No	
Wastewater/NPDES	☐ Yes ■ No	
Other RMS addressed and explanation	n:	

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

PROJECT BUDGET					
	oject serves a need of a DAC?: Yes anding Match Waiver request?: Yes	□ No □ No			
	Category	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
a.	Direct Project Administration		\$47,450		\$47,450
b.	Land Purchase/Easement				
c.	Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental		\$76,450		\$76,450
d.	Construction/Implementation	\$1,090,600	\$74,700		\$1,165,300
e.	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement		\$800		\$800
f.	Construction Administration		\$9,200		\$9,200
g.	Other Costs				
h.	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$111,060			\$111,060
i.	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	1,201,660	\$208,600		1,410,260
j.	Can the Project be phased? Yes	■ No If yes, pr	ovide cost breakdo	own by phases	
		Project Cost	O&M Cost	Descriptio	n of Phase
	Phase 1				
	Phase 2				
	Phase 3				
l,	Phase 4	aa aasta will ba	Annual Operation	as and Maintana	nco budgot
k.	Explain how operation and maintenan financed for the 20-year planning periods.		Annual Operations and Maintenance budget funded by monthly customer service rates.		-
	implementation (not grant funded).	ou for project	Tanaca by month	ily customer serv	ice rates.
I.			☐ Yes ■ No		
m.	Describe what impact there may be if	the project is	Increase groundwater demand due to leakage.		
	not funded (300 words or less)		Increased risk of MCL violations due to		
			contamination ris Increased risk of seismic shifts and	catastrophic tanl	

*Match funding will be provided by the EQSD Capital Improvement Program. Water rates have been structured to create a sinking fund for this purpose.

Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table (http://featherriver.org/documents/).

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage.

	Check the Current Project		Description of Activities in Each	Planned/ Actual Start	Planned/ Actual Completion
Project Stage	Stage	Completed?	Project Stage	Date (mm/yr)	Date (mm/yr)
a. Assessment and		Yes	Engineer's		
Evaluation		□ No	Assessment		
		□ N/A	Completed		
b. Final Design		☐ Yes		2 months after	4 months after
		□ No		funding	funding
		□ N/A		received	received
c. Environmental		☐ Yes		4 months after	7 months after
Documentation		□ No		funding	funding
(CEQA / NEPA)		□ N/A		received	received
d. Permitting		☐ Yes		7 months after	8.5 months
		□ No		funding	after funding
		□ N/A		received	received
e. Construction		☐ Yes		8.5 months	9 months after
Contracting		□ No		after funding	funding
		□ N/A		received	received
f. Construction		☐ Yes		9 months after	12 months after
Implementation		□ No		funding	funding
		□ N/A		received	received
Provide explanation if more than one project					
stage is checked as c	current status	i			

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

a.	List the adopted planning documents the proposed	EQSD Capital Improvement Plan			
	project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General				
	Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat				
	Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).				
b.	List technical reports and studies supporting the	Engineers Report of the project			
	feasibility of this project.	(attached)			
c.	Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much	Replacing the 800,000 gallon concrete			
	research has been conducted) of the proposed project in	tank with a new steel tank of equal size			
	300 words or less.	would save the Region about 1 million			
		gallons per year of groundwater and			
		ensure the District of a structurally			
		sound, seismic force resisting tank for			
		water storage and reliability. The			
		volume of water saved by the project			
		was calculated as the sum of the water			
		that was observed leaking from the			
		facility.			
		The May 23, 2013 magnitude 5.7			
		earthquake that struck the south of			
		Lake Almanor in Lassen Volcanic			
		National Park created additional leaks			
		and elevated the District's concern over			
		potential failure and increased leaking.			
d.	Does the project implement green technology (e.g.				
	alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A			
	techniques, etc.).	If yes, please describe.			
		ii yes, pieuse describe.			
e.	Are you an Urban Water Supplier ¹ ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A			
f.	Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier ² ?	☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A			
g.	Is the project related to groundwater?	■ Yes □ No □ N/A			
		If yes, please indicate which			
		groundwater basin.			
		5-10			
		American Valley			
¹ Urban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for					
municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than					
3,000 acre-feet of water annually.					
² Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing					
water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water					

Climate Change – Project Assessment Checklist

This climate change project assessment tool allows project applicants and the planning team to assess project consistency with Proposition 84 plan standards and RWMG plan assessment standards. The tool is a written checklist that asks GHG emissions and adaptation/resiliency questions.

Name of project: MS-4: Water Tank Project

Project applicant: East Quincy Services District

GHG Emissions Assessment

Project Construction Emissions

(If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)

 X The project requires nonroad or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. X The project requires materials to be transported to the project site. X The project requires workers to commute to the project site. The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.
Operating Emissions (If you check any of the boxes, please see the attached worksheet)
X The project requires energy to operate.
The project will generate electricity.
The project will proactively manage forests to reduce wildfire risk.
The project will affect wetland acreage.
The project will include new trees.
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons.

Adaptation & Resiliency Assessment

Water Supply Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water supply vulnerability issues:
 Not applicable Reduced snowmelt X Unmet local water needs (drought) ☐ Increased invasive species
Reliable water storage without the concerns of catastrophic tank failure of a 51-year-old leaking tank. Improved water quality.
Water Demand Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water demand vulnerability issues:
Not applicable
X Increasing seasonal water use variability
Unmet in-stream flow requirements
Climate-sensitive crops
Groundwater drought resiliency
Water curtailment effectiveness
Increased water storage and tank dependability
Water Quality Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following high priority water quality vulnerability issues:
☐ Not applicable
X Increasing catastrophic wildfires
 Eutrophication (excessive nutrient pollution in a waterbody, often followed by algae blooms and other related water quality issues)
Seasonal low flows and limited abilities for waterbodies to assimilate pollution
☐ Water treatment facility operations
X Unmet beneficial uses (municipal and domestic water supply, water contact recreation, cold freshwater habitat, spawning habitat, wildlife habitat, etc.)

Improved municipal water supply reliability.
Improved water supply reliability allows water to be available to fight wildfires with a reduced impact on
supplies needed to meet existing demands.
Flooding
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority flooding vulnerability issues:
X Not applicable
Aging critical flood protection
Wildfires
Critical infrastructure in a floodplain
Insufficient flood control facilities
Ecosystem and Habitat Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority ecosystem and habitat vulnerability issues:
X Not applicable
Climate-sensitive fauna or flora
Recreation and economic activity
Quantified environmental flow requirements
Erosion and sedimentation
Endangered or threatened species
Fragmented habitat
Hydropower
Describe how the project makes the watershed (more/less) resilient to one or more of the following
high priority hydropower vulnerability issues:
X Not applicable
Reduced hydropower output

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

MS-4: Water Tank Project

GHG Emissions Analysis

Project Construction Emissions

The project requires non-road or off-road engines, equipment, or vehicles to complete. If yes:

	Maximum		
	Number Per	Total 8-Hour Days in	
Type of Equipment	Day	Operation	Total MTCO₂e
Rollers	1	2	0
Cranes	1	14	11
Graders	1	3	1
Tractors/Loaders/Bac			
khoes	1	8	2
Other Construction			
Equipment	1	14	1
Cement and Mortar			
Mixers	1	1	0
			0
			0
			0
			0
		Total Emissions	16

Χ	The project requires materials to be transported to the project	site. If yes

		1 7
	Average Trip	
Total Number of	Distance	
Round Trips	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e
3	300	1

X The project requires workers to commute to the project site. If yes:

Average Number		Average Round Trip Distance Traveled		
of Workers	of Workdays	(Miles)	Total MTCO₂e	
5	20	10		0

The project is expected to generate GHG emissions for other reasons. If yes, explain:					

The project does not have a construction phase and/or is not expected to generate GHG emissions during the construction phase.

MS-4: Water Tank Project Page 1

Upper Feather River IRWMP Project Assessment - GHG Emissions Analysis

	MS-4: Water Tank Project			
Project Operating Emissions				
The project requires energy to operate. If yes:				
	Annual Energy Needed	Unit	Total MTCO₂e	
	150	kWh (Electricity)	0	
		Therm (Natural Gas)	0	
The projec	t will generate electricity. If yes:		_	
	Annual kWh Generated	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG red	luctions	-	
The projec	t will proactively manage forests to re	educe wildfire risk. If y	/es:	
_	Acres Protected from Wildfire	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG red	luctions	•	
The project	t will affect wetland acreage. If yes:	•	-	
	Acres of Protected Wetlands	Total MTCO₂e		
		0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG red	luctions		
The project	t will include new trees. If yes:		_	
	Acres of Trees Planted	Total MTCO₂e		
	0	0		
	*A negative value indicates GHG red	luctions		
Project operations are expected to generate or reduce GHG emissions for other reasons. If you explain:				
	It is estimated that the project will reduce groundwater pumping by over 1			
	million gallons for any given year – reducing energy consumption for			
	pumping.			

GHG Emissions Summary

Construction and development will generate approximately:

In a given year, operation of the project will result in:

MS-4: Water Tank Project Page 2

18 MTCO₂e

 $_{0}$ MTCO $_{2}$ e