Upper Feather River Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Proposition 50 Grant Agreement No. 4600007650

Project Performance & Monitoring Report

Project No./Name: National Forest Water Quality Improvement Project

Project Proponent: USFS Plumas National Forest

Progress Report No.: 2

Reporting Period: 2019

Date of Post-Performance Report: 6/5/2020

Project Specific Output Signatures						
	Yes	No		Comments		
Improve water quality	\boxtimes			Road improvements & channel		
				restoration		
Improve channel stability	\boxtimes			Stream channel restoration		
Improve coldwater fish habitat	\boxtimes			Road improvements & channel		
				restoration; riparian fencing		
Project Specific Outcome Indicators						
	Yes	No		Comments		
Reduce sedimentation	\boxtimes			Road improvements & channel		
				restoration		
Reduce acceleration streambank erosion	\boxtimes			Stream channel restoration; riparian		
				fencing		
Increased shade	\boxtimes			Riparian vegetation planting		
Did you meet the goal of your project? If	\boxtimes			Yes. Road drainage improvements		
yes, please provide a brief description				reduced delivery of fine sediment to		
stating how you achieved this goal. If no,				streams, improving water quality and		
please comment as to why the goal was				habitat. Stream channel improvements		
not achieved.				stabilized channel erosion sites and		
				improved habitat.		
Other Standard Reporting Requirements: P	lease	indica	te d	other monitoring/reporting requirements		
you may already be required to do indeper	dent f	from C	W	R contractual obligations. For example:		
CDPH Title 22 Ch. 15 "Domestic Water Quality AND Monitoring Regulations," NPDES, GAMA,						
CASGEM, or other internal reporting requir	emen	ts that	m	ay yield valuable data.		
	Yes	No		Comments		
Best Management Practice (BMP)	\boxtimes			Required for State of CA Central Valley		
effectiveness monitoring				Water Board timber project permits as		
				well as USFS regional monitoring reqs.		
What Upper Feather River IRWM Plan Objectives did your project address to support						
implementation of the Plan?						
	Yes	No		Comments		

Restore natural hydrologic functions	П	\boxtimes	
Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland			
fires in the Region			
Balance the needs of forest health, habitat	\boxtimes		Road improvements protect the
preservation, fuels reduction, forest fire			sustainability of USFS road network,
prevention, and economic activity in the			helping to support forest health and fuels
Upper Feather River Region			reduction work.
Build communications and collaboration	\boxtimes		Red Clover watershed EA (Dotta meadow
among water resources stakeholders in			restoration) partnered with Plumas
the Region			Corporation and Upper Feather River
			Watershed Roundtable.
Work with Department of Water		\boxtimes	
Resources to develop strategies and			
actions for the management, operation,			
and control of the State Water Project			
facilities in the Upper Feather River			
Watershed in order to increase water			
supply, recreational and environmental			
benefits to the Region			
Encourage municipal service providers to		\boxtimes	
participate in regional water management			
actions that improve water supply and			
water quality			
Continue to actively engage in FERC		\boxtimes	
relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the			
Region			
Address economic challenges of municipal		\boxtimes	
service providers to serve customers			
Protect, restore, and enhance the quality	\boxtimes		Water quality improvement primarily
of surface and groundwater resources for			through reduced delivery of fine
all beneficial uses, consistent with the			sediment.
Central Valley Regional Water Control			
Board Basin Plan			
Address water resources and wastewater		\boxtimes	
needs of Disadvantaged Communities			
(DACs) and Native Americans			
Coordinate management of recharge		\boxtimes	
areas and protect groundwater resources			
Improve coordination of land use and	\boxtimes		Improved transportation system
water resources planning			
Maximize agricultural, environmental and		\boxtimes	
municipal water use efficiency			
Effectively address climate change		\boxtimes	
adaptation and/or mitigation in water			
resource management			

Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and other water-related			
infrastructure			
Enhance public awareness and	\boxtimes		Substantial public outreach regarding
understanding of water management			meadow restoration occurred during the
issues and needs			period of this grant
Address economic challenges of		\boxtimes	
agricultural producers			
Work with counties, communities, and		\boxtimes	
groups to make sure staff capacity exists			
for actual administration and			
implementation of grant funding			

1. Summary of the operations of the project.

US Forest Service roads improved by this project remain in use. Road treatments have helped to stabilize these roads and reduce future maintenance costs. Stream channel stabilization treatments have been observed to be stable, reducing streambank erosion. The cattle grazing fence along Cow Creek is maintained by the allotment permitee, benefiting aquatic habitat.

2. Discuss project benefits to water quality, water supply, and the environment.

Road improvements have reduced sediment delivery to nearby streams, benefitting water quality. Streambank stabilization and riparian vegetation treatments have benefited aquatic habitat. Removal of conifers has improved meadow habitat.

3. Comparison and explanation of any differences between expected versus actual project success in meeting IRWM priorities as stated in the original IRWM Implementation Grant application.

The National Forest Water Quality Improvement Project has been successful in achieving the IRWM priorities stated in the original grant application, with no significant departures.

4. Summary of any additional costs and/or benefits deriving from the project.

Road improvements have improved recreation access in the Forest. The two vault toilets installed at Lake Davis fishing access sites have improved recreation experiences while also meeting the intended goal of reducing potential fecal contamination in the lake.

5.	Additional information relevant to or generated by the continued operation of the project.							
	None							