



featherriver.org

UPPER FEATHER RIVER IRWM PROJECT INFORMATION FORM

Please provide information in the tables below:

I. PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION

| | |
|--|---|
| Agency / Organization | Maidu Summit Consortium |
| Name of Primary Contact | Kenneth Holbrook, ED (soon: Mary Adelzadeh) |
| Name of Secondary Contact | Lorena Gorbet |
| Mailing Address | P.O. Box 682, Chester, CA 96020 |
| E-mail | director@maidusummit.org (mary@brbna.org) |
| Phone | 530-258-2299 |
| Other Cooperating Agencies / Organizations / Stakeholders | |
| Is your agency/organization committed to the project through completion? If not, please explain | Yes |

II. GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

| | |
|--|--|
| Project Title | TAC-3: Mud Creek Habitat Recovery |
| Project Category | <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Land Stewardship <input type="checkbox"/> Floodplains/Meadows/Waterbodies <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tribal Advisory Committee <input type="checkbox"/> Uplands/Forest |
| Project Description (Briefly describe the project, in 300 words or less) | <p>The site at Mud Creek is an important habitat for a wide variety of edible and medicinal plant species for the Maidu people. It is currently grossly undermanaged and the Maidu Summit wishes to restore and improve this site using Maidu Traditional ecological Knowledge (TEK). Our disadvantaged community lacks sources for traditional food gathering. The Maidu Summit will be granted ownership of this area by PG&E within the next two years along with a comprehensive vegetation management program, critical to long-term recovery of the stressed species found there. Components of the program include: 1) General wetland cleanup and hand treatment of dead and dying woody materials; 2) Willow treatment, coppicing and debris removal; 3) Understory management and thinning; 4) Plant population studies, for community health; 5) Water quality studies, for community health; 6) Monitoring of change to growth patterns, before and after; and 7) Final report of project details and outcomes. Site enhancements predicted for this site include: roughly 200</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | acres of recovered critical habitat for special plant species that provide the Maidu People with medicine, traditional food and basketry materials. Improvements to water quality on this site and to the immediate down-stream water users (community of Chester and important bird habitat near Lake Almanor causeway). Attached is a list of the plants we would nurture giving their scientific names, Mountain Maidu names and usages. |
| Project Location Description (e.g., along the south bank of stream/river between river miles or miles from Towns/intersection and/or address): | Mud Creek parcel is in Section 28, R.7E., T.29N. Mud Creek runs into Lake Almanor on the east side north of the Chester Causeway. It is on the Forest Service dirt road running from Highway 36 to Lake Chance Campground; two miles north of the highway and one mile south of the campground. There is a short side road that runs east along the north side of the creek. |
| Latitude: | 40.335566°N |
| Longitude: | -121.206774°W |

III. APPLICABLE IRWM PLAN OBJECTIVES ADDRESSED

For each of the objectives addressed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project contributes to attaining the objective and how the project outcomes will be quantified. If the project does not address *any* of the IRWM plan objectives, provide a one to two sentence description of how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity of the Region.

| Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: | Will the project address the objective? | Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective | Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced) |
|---|---|--|---|
| Restore natural hydrologic functions. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | General wetlands cleanup and re-vegetation of wetland species and removal of woody debris and garbage in the wetlands. | 35 acres wetland springs & creek treated |
| Reduce potential for catastrophic wildland fires in the Region. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Hand treatment of dead and dying woody materials. Fuel reduction in adjacent forest areas. | Over full 200 acres |
| Build communication and collaboration among water resources stakeholders in the Region. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Work with Lake Almanor Watershed Group, Greenville & Susanville Rancherias, MCDG, PG&E and USFS. | |
| Work with DWR to develop strategies and actions for the management, operation, and control of SWP facilities in the Upper Feather River | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | |

| Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: | Will the project address the objective? | Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective | Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced) |
|--|---|---|--|
| Watershed in order to increase water supply, recreational, and environmental benefits to the Region. | | | |
| Encourage municipal service providers to participate in regional water management actions that improve water supply and water quality. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | Encourage volunteers in the caretaking of the springs and creek on the property. Municipal providers may volunteer on the project. Unknown at this time. | 35 acres of springs, creek and wetlands |
| Continue to actively engage in FERC relicensing of hydroelectric facilities in the Region. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | Property borders FERC licensed land and water from springs and creek flow into Lake Almanor. PG&E may choose to partner on this project. Unknown at this time. | |
| Address economic challenges of municipal service providers to serve customers. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | |
| Protect, restore, and enhance the quality of surface and groundwater resources for all beneficial uses, consistent with the RWQC Basin Plan. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Will result in improved water quality and quantity by restoring wetlands to healthy condition and hydrologic functions. | 200 acres treated overall. |
| Address water resources and wastewater needs of DACs and Native Americans. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Improve water used to raise healthy traditional N.A. food, medicine and basket plants. | |
| Coordinate management of recharge areas and protect groundwater resources. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | Springs, creek and wetlands restored to health may improve recharge and groundwater resources. Unknown at this time. | 35 acres |
| Improve coordination of land use and water resources planning. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Caretaking plants used by Native Americans and water dependent fish and wildlife species will improve downstream water quality to Lake Almanor, thereby improving water and land planning coordination. | |
| Maximize agricultural, environmental and municipal water use efficiency. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | |

| Upper Feather River IRWM Objectives: | Will the project address the objective? | Brief explanation of project linkage to selected Objective | Quantification (e.g. acres of streams/wetlands restored or enhanced) |
|--|---|--|--|
| Effectively address climate change adaptation and/or mitigation in water resources management. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | |
| Improve efficiency and reliability of water supply and other water-related infrastructure. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | Will result in healthier bird, animal and plant habitat in the area perhaps improving Almanor reservoir conditions. Unknown at this time. | 200 acres treated in total |
| Enhance public awareness and understanding of water management issues and needs. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Will educate public and agencies of traditional way to steward the land. | |
| Address economic challenges of agricultural producers. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | Result in production of well managed traditional food, medicine and basket plants for family food and medicines. | |
| Work with counties/ communities/groups to make sure staff capacity exists for actual administration and implementation of grant funding. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Work with Stewardship Council on the project design then with consultants to be sure we have adequate technical knowledge to complete project. | |

If no objectives are addressed, describe how the project relates to a challenge or opportunity for the Region:

IV. PROJECT IMPACTS AND BENEFITS

Please provide a summary of the expected project benefits and impacts in the table below or check N/A if not applicable; **do not leave a blank cell**. Note that DWR encourages multi-benefit projects.

| If applicable, describe benefits or impacts of the project with respect to: | | |
|---|---|---|
| a. Native American Tribal Communities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Healthier traditional food, medicine and basket plants used by N.A. community. Employment of N.A. crews to do the project work. |
| b. Disadvantaged Communities¹ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Will result in cleaner and healthier water into Lake Almanor to advantage of DAC communities around the lake such as Chester. |
| c. Environmental Justice² | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Improving land that will be owned by a Native American organization. Landlessness for California recognized tribes is one of the most important EJ issue for California tribes across the Sierra Nevada Region. |
| d. Drought Preparedness | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Wetland rehabilitation will increase the holding of water until later in the year before release into the stream system, benefiting both the creek and downstream Lake Almanor to an unknown extent. |
| e. Assist the region in adapting to effects of climate change³ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Cleanup around the spring areas using traditional methods will increase available water in the wetland areas. |
| f. Generation or reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. green technology) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Cleanup of dead and dying woody materials will result in healthier forest areas surrounding the project. |
| g. Other expected impacts or benefits that are not already mentioned elsewhere | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | The project will validate Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) through monitoring of growth patterns, before and after, as a valid way to caretake the land. Will educate others on the usage of TEK in coordination with conventional scientific data. |

¹ A Disadvantaged Community is defined as a community with an annual median household (MHI) income that is less than 80 percent of the Statewide annual MHI. DWR’s DAC mapping is available on the UFR website (<http://featherriver.org/maps/>).

² Environmental Justice is defined as the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. An example of environmental justice benefit would be to improve conditions (e.g. water supply, flooding, sanitation) in an area of racial minorities.

³ Climate change effects are likely to include increased flooding, extended drought, and associated secondary effects such as increased wildfire risk, erosion, and sedimentation.

DWR encourages multiple benefit projects which address one or more of the following elements (PRC §75026(a)). Indicate which elements are addressed by your project.

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| a. Water supply reliability, water conservation, water use efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | g. Drinking water treatment and distribution | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| b. Stormwater capture, storage, clean-up, treatment, management | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | h. Watershed protection and management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| c. Removal of invasive non-native species, creation/enhancement of wetlands, acquisition/protection/restoration of open space and watershed lands | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | i. Contaminant and salt removal through reclamation/desalting, other treatment technologies and conveyance of recycled water for distribution to users | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| d. Non-point source pollution reduction, management and monitoring | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | j. Planning and implementation of multipurpose flood management programs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| e. Groundwater recharge and management projects | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | k. Ecosystem and fisheries restoration and protection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| f. Water banking, exchange, reclamation, and improvement of water quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | |

V. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

For each resource management strategy (RMS) employed by the project, provide a one to two sentence description in the table below of how the project incorporates the strategy. A description of the RMS can be found in Volume 2 of the 2013 California Water Plan (<http://featherriver.org/2013-california-water-plan-update/>).

| Resource Management Strategy | Will the Project incorporate RMS? | Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable |
|---|---|--|
| Reduce Water Demand | | |
| Agricultural Water Use Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Urban water use efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Improve Flood Management | | |
| Flood management | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers | | |
| Conveyance – regional/local | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| System reoperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Water transfers | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Increase Water Supply | | |
| Conjunctive management | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Precipitation Enhancement | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Municipal recycled water | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Surface storage – regional/local | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Improve Water Quality | | |

| Resource Management Strategy | Will the Project incorporate RMS? | Description of how RMS to be employed, if applicable |
|---|---|---|
| Drinking water treatment and distribution | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Groundwater remediation/aquifer remediation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Matching water quality to water use | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Pollution prevention | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Salt and salinity management | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Urban storm water runoff management | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Practice Resource Stewardship | | |
| Agricultural land stewardship | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | Plant studies and monitoring. Raising of traditional plants for family food and medicine needs. |
| Ecosystem restoration | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Wetlands management and TEK plant restoration |
| Forest management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Fuel reduction and removal of dead and dying woody materials |
| Land use planning and management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Project planning and implementation using TEK. |
| Recharge area protection | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Sediment management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Manage plants along creek banks to prevent erosion. |
| Watershed management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | TEK methods used on all MSC lands within the watershed. |
| People and Water | | |
| Economic incentives | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | MSC member organizations will participate and benefit from the project. |
| Outreach and engagement | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Will use MSC website and Facebook page plus educational tours to engage the public. |
| Water and culture | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Will result in protection of springs, wetlands and Native American sites within the project area. |
| Water-dependent recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Wastewater/NPDES | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

Other RMS addressed and explanation:

VI. PROJECT COST AND FINANCING

Please provide any estimates of project cost, sources of funding, and operation and maintenance costs, as well as the source of the project cost in the table below.

| PROJECT BUDGET | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Project serves a need of a DAC?: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | |
| Funding Match Waiver request?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | |
| | Category | Requested Grant Amount | Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match) | Cost Share: Other State Fund Source* | Total Cost |
| a. | Direct Project Administration | 2,000 | | | 2,000 |
| b. | Land Purchase/Easement | | | | |
| c. | Planning/Design/Engineering / Environmental | 50,000 | | | 50,000 |
| d. | Construction/Implementation | | | | |
| e. | Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement | 120,000 | | | 120,000 |
| f. | Construction Administration | 3,000 | | | 3,000 |
| g. | Other Costs | | | | |
| h. | Construction/Implementation Contingency | 275,000 | 50,000 | | 325,000 |
| i. | Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column) | 450,000 | 50,000 | -0- | 500,000 |
| j. | Can the Project be phased? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide cost breakdown by phases | | | | |
| | | Project Cost | O&M Cost | Description of Phase | |
| | Phase 1 | 50,000 | | Planning | |
| | Phase 2 | 125,000 | | Studies, Environmental | |
| | Phase 3 | 325,000 | | Implementation | |
| | Phase 4 | | 50,000 | Monitoring/education | |
| k. | Explain how operation and maintenance costs will be financed for the 20-year planning period for project implementation (not grant funded). | Covered by Maidu Summit Consortium endowment fund set up from Stewardship Council monies that come with the land deed for this purpose. | | | |
| l. | Has a Cost/Benefit analysis been completed? | X No | | | |
| m. | Describe what impact there may be if the project is not funded (300 words or less) | Land, plants, water in project area would remain untreated and unhealthy. | | | |
| *List all sources of funding. Note: See Project Development Manual, Exhibit B, for assistance in completing this table (http://featherriver.org/documents/). | | | | | |

VIII. PROJECT STATUS AND SCHEDULE

Please provide a status of the project, level of completion as well as a description of the activities planned for each project stage. If unknown, enter **TBD**.

| Project Stage | Check the Current Project Stage | Completed? | Description of Activities in Each Project Stage | Planned/ Actual Start Date (mm/yr) | Planned/ Actual Completion Date (mm/yr) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| a. Assessment and Evaluation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Studies of current conditions of plants & water. Conceptual stage | July 2015 | Sept. 2015 |
| b. Final Design | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Planning, final cost projection and schedule of work | Sept. 2015 | Oct. 2015 |
| c. Environmental Documentation (CEQA / NEPA) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Required Documentation Completed | Oct. 2015 | Jan. 2016 |
| d. Permitting | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | | |
| e. Construction Contracting | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Bids by RFP; contracts awarded | Feb. 2016 | Mar. 2016 |
| f. Construction Implementation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | Cleanup & debris removal Forest Treatment Final studies/monitoring Education components | April 2016 May 2016 July 2016 Sept. 2016 | June 2016 Sept. 2016 On going On going |
| Provide explanation if more than one project stage is checked as current status | | | | | |

IX. PROJECT TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Please provide any related documents (date, title, author, and page numbers) that describe and confirm the technical feasibility of the project. See www.featherriver.org/catalog/index.php for documents gathered on the UFR Region.

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>a. List the adopted planning documents the proposed project is consistent with or supported by (e.g. General Plans, UWMPs, GWMPs, Water Master Plan, Habitat Conservation Plans, TMDLs, Basin Plans, etc.).</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -ABWAC Land Management Plan -Integrated Regional Water Management Plan: Upper Feather River Watershed, California -Lassen National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan -Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council Land Conservation Plan |
|---|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>b. List technical reports and studies supporting the feasibility of this project.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Exploring the Role of Traditional Ecological Knowledge in Climate Change Initiatives (USDA) -Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) Resources (CA LCC) -California Dept. of Finance Demographic Reports -Last Chance Creek Fish Data Summary -Natural Infrastructure; Investing in Forested Landscapes for Source Water Protection -Stewardship Council Annual Reports 2005-2013 |
| <p>c. Concisely describe the scientific basis (e.g. how much research has been conducted) of the proposed project in 300 words or less.</p> | <p>This parcel of land was first looked at by the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council in 2003-2004. Public meetings were held for input from the public to be included in their land conservation plan. The Maidu Summit Group studied this parcel in 2007 and included it in their Land Management Proposal submitted to the Stewardship Council in 2007. They again studied what needed to be done to the land in 2010 and it was included in a land management proposal submitted in 2010 by the Maidu Summit Consortium. This parcel was again considered in 2014 and plans for the future of the parcel were submitted to the Stewardship Council. In January 2015 the Stewardship Council voted to award this parcel of land to the Maidu Summit Consortium. The Maidu Summit expects to receive the final deed to the property within 18-24 months from then.</p> <p>Caretaking of the land will be by using TEK methods as much as possible. We realize that the climate and world has changed within the last 150 years and some more modern methods will be incorporated into the more traditional methods. TEK involves a relationship with all the plants, animals and elements of the land and how the Maidu interact with them. It involves talking to the land and listening to the land as to what it needs and wants. Methods include the use of hand tools</p> |

TAC-3: Mud Creek Habitat Recovery

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>instead of large equipment and no use at all of chemicals. TEK involves reconnecting the people to the land and having them caretaking and tending the plants and animals. It results in an abundance of healthier plants and more cleaner water.</p> <p>The TEK methods were used by the Maidu Cultural and Development Group on 1500 acres north of Greenville under a 10 year Stewardship Contract with the Plumas National Forest. It was a great success and resulted in showing that TEK can work on a large scale in today's world and climate. The results were in a healthier forest that saw the return of many plants and animals that had been missing for years. The lands that were treated are now more fire safe, protecting the community of Greenville north of town along Highway 89. It includes a meadow where food and medicine plants were replanted, a bear grass area that doubled in size and an oak area where conifers were removed and oaks nurtured; a much more diverse and healthier forest.</p> |
| <p>d. Does the project implement green technology (e.g. alternate forms of energy, recycled materials, LID techniques, etc.).</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>If yes, please describe.</p> <p>No use of chemicals. Handwork with hand tools instead of large equipment will reduce the GHG emissions for the project.</p> |
| <p>e. Are you an Urban Water Supplier¹?</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| <p>f. Are you are an Agricultural Water Supplier²?</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| <p>g. Is the project related to groundwater?</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>If yes, please indicate which groundwater basin.</p> <p>Springs on parcel in Lake Almanor Watershed Basin may connect to groundwater. Unknown at this time.</p> |
| <p>¹ Urban Water Supplier is defined as a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than 3,000 acre-feet of water annually.</p> <p>² Agricultural Water Supplier is defined as a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding the acreage that receives recycled water.</p> | |